Three-dimensional modeling of alternating current triboelectric nanogenerator in the linear sliding mode
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ABSTRACT

Energy harvesting from mechanical motions has immense applications such as self-powered sensors and renewable energy sources powered by ocean waves. In this context, the triboelectric nanogenerator is the cutting-edge technology which can effectively convert ambient mechanical energy into electricity through the Maxwell's displacement current. While further improvements of the energy conversion efficiency of triboelectric nanogenerators critically depend on theoretical modeling of the energy conversion process, to date only models based on single-relative-motion processes have been explored. Here, we analyze energy harvesting of triboelectric nanogenerators using a three-dimensional model in a linear-sliding mode and demonstrate a design of triboelectric nanogenerators that have a 77.5% enhancement in the average power in comparison with previous approaches. Moreover, our model shows the existence of a DC-like bias voltage contained in the basic AC output from the energy conversion, which makes the triboelectric nanogenerators an energy source more pliable than the traditional AC power generation systems. The present work provides a framework for systematic modeling of
the importance of obtaining direct analytical insight in understanding the current output characteristics of the triboelectric nanogenerators. Incorporating our model analysis in future designs of triboelectric nanogenerators is beneficial for increasing the energy conversion power and may provide insights that can be used in engineering the profile of the output current of the nanogenerators.
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### 1. INTRODUCTION

The United Nations’ climate goal is to limit the average global temperature rise caused by greenhouse gas emissions to below 2°C compared with pre-industrial levels.\(^1,2\) However, several studies indicate that the unabated use of all current fossil fuel reserves is incompatible with this target.\(^3,4\) For instance, coal still accounted for 28% of the global energy supply despite the global efforts have shifted from non-renewable to renewable energy forms.\(^5\) Implementation of renewable energy technologies such as natural gas, wind or solar is an essential part of the strategy.\(^5,6\) Recently, a new and effective mechanical energy harvesting technology, the triboelectric nanogenerator (TENG), has been developed. A TENG can directly convert mechanical triggering energy into electricity by producing alternating current (AC). Given the universal and ubiquitous access to triboelectrification, TENGs have a high promising potential as the energy source to power randomly distributed electronic devices and multifunctional array of sensing systems. It has been demonstrated that TENGs can be used in four major application fields: self-powered sensors, direct high-voltage power sources, micro/nano power sources and large-scale blue energy.\(^7,8\)

The fundamental principle of a TENG is based on the Maxwell’s displacement current \((I_D)\). The displacement current is generated in TENGs due to vertical or horizontal movement of the contacting materials with opposite electrostatic charges. Although we know that the displacement current is equal to the conduction current in the external circuit of TENGs, the quantitative details and insight on how the variation of the three-dimensional (3D) spatially-distributed electric displacement affects the generation of the displacement current, for different TENG mode geometries, have not yet been addressed.\(^9\) To date, a large number of theoretical works have been published with the aim of qualitatively explaining either the working principles or describing its output behavior.\(^10-26\)
However, AC outputs of different modes of TENGs have not been investigated systematically despite the significant importance for practical applications. Hitherto, research on TENG characteristics and dynamics has mainly focused on one single relative motion process while very little research work has been carried out on the AC output of TENG’s subject to periodic mechanical motion.

Here, we analyze the generation of displacement current using a simple 3D mathematical model for a linear-sliding mode TENG. Firstly, our results suggest that periodic variations of the electric field lead to, after relaxation, the generation of a periodic variation of $I_D$ of opposite phase. It is shown that a similar direct current (DC) bias is contained in the AC output signal that is a characteristic of TENGs entirely different from conversional power generation methods. Utilizing the optimum value of the capacitance resistance for steady state operation, a substantial increase (77.5% improvement) is obtained for the power generation in comparison with the traditional strategy reported for relaxation-time studies. We derive analytical equations of relaxation and cycle times as well as the optimum resistance in steady state. Based on a dimensional analysis, we present a normalized governing equation of TENGs and use it to obtain an expression for the structural figure-of-merit. We anticipate that, the analytical strategy presented here for the slide-mode TENG is transferable to other types of TENGs.

2. 3D MATHEMATICAL MODEL OF THE LINEAR SLIDING MODE TENG

2.1 Output performance at open-circuit (OC) condition

A 3 dimensional model that we have established before can be utilized to evaluate the output performance of the linear sliding (LS) mode TENG. Consider the geometry in Fig. 1(a). The upper bar slides back and forth on the lower bar and triboelectric charges are generated dynamically on the surface parts that don’t overlap. At the OC condition, the electric field can be found (Supplementary Note 1):
where $\alpha(t)$ represents the relative motion distance and $L$ is the length of the electrode/dielectric.

On the overlapping parts of the electrode, i.e., when $x$ is between $a(t)$ and $L$, a charge density exists equal in magnitude but of opposite sign to the triboelectric charge density of the dielectric material in direct contact with the electrode. For the overlapping part of the bottom (top) electrode a different charge density $\sigma_E(t)$ ($-\sigma_E(t)$) exists at any time. In addition, we have introduced the notation $z_{0^-}$ to indicate that negative triboelectric charges are generated a $z$ value infinitesimally smaller than $z_0$. Similarly, $z_{0^+}$ indicates that positive triboelectric charges are generated at $z$ value infinitesimally larger than $z_0$. With this method, we have calculated the electric potential and potential difference between the two electrodes (Supplementary Note 1).

This is also crucial when we next evaluate the displacement current.

For OC conditions the charge density $\sigma_E(t)$ is determined by the requirement that the total charge $\sigma_0$ on the electrodes must be zero at any time (Supplementary Note 2). According to the definition, the displacement current through the internal TENG surface $z$ at OC conditions ($I_{D,OC}$) is
When $z = 0$, $I_{\text{b,OC}}$ through the triboelectric charge surface is given by (Supplementary Note 2):

$$I_{\text{b,OC}} = b \frac{d((L - a(t))\sigma_E(t))}{dt} = b(L - a(t)) \frac{d\sigma_E(t)}{dt} - b\sigma_E(t) \frac{da(t)}{dt} \quad (3)$$

### 2.2 Output performance at short-circuit condition

For SC conditions, charges will be transferred from one electrode to another to maintain electrostatic equilibrium; we assume that the transferred charge density between the two electrodes is $\pm \sigma_U(t)$. As a result, the charge density on the overlapping area is $\sigma_E(t) + \sigma_U(t)$ ($z_0^-$ position), $-\sigma_E(t) - \sigma_U(t)$ ($z_0^+$ position), respectively. The electric field Eq. (1) can be rewritten as:
The displacement current through the entire surface \( z \) at SC conditions \( (I_{D,SC}) \) is determined by

\[
I_{D,SC} = \int \sigma \varepsilon_0 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \frac{E(x, y, z, t)}{4\pi(x, y, z)} \, ds
\]

If \( z = 0 \) is chosen to evaluate \( I_{D,SC} \), Eq. (5) becomes (Supplementary Note 2):
\[ I_{D,SC} = b(\sigma_x(t) - \sigma_y(t)) \frac{da(t)}{dt} + b(L - a(t)) \left( \frac{d\sigma_x(t)}{dt} - \frac{d\sigma_y(t)}{dt} \right) \] (6)

Moreover, since the two electrode potentials are the same at SC conditions, we have \( \phi_1(x, y, z_1, t) = \phi_2(x, y, z_2, t) \). So, the transferred charges \( \sigma_0(t) \) can be obtained. The capacitance of the LS TENG can now be calculated in the standard way as the ratio between charge and voltage across the capacitor (Supplementary Note 1).

2.3 Alternating current output performance

2.3.1 The electric potential and Kirchhoff's law

In the general case where a resistor is connected to the electrodes, the electric potential at the positions \( z_1 \phi_1(x, y, z_1, t) \) and \( z_2 \phi_2(x, y, z_2, t) \), respectively, are different. According to Kirchhoff's law, the governing equation

\[ -ZA \frac{d\sigma_1(t)}{dt} = \phi_1(x, y, z) - \phi_2(x, y, z) \] (7)

where \( Z \) is the external electrical impedance, \( A \) is the contacting surface, \( \sigma_1(t) \) is the free charge density, \( Q_u = A\sigma_1(t) \) is the transferred charge between the two electrodes, and the potential over \( Z \) is \( ZdQ_u/dt \). Note that Eq. (7) is a time-dependent differential equation, from which \( \sigma_1(t) \) can be evaluated. Then, the conduction current \( (I(t)) \), instantaneous power \( (P(t)) \), output energy \( (E(t)) \), and average power output \( (P_{av}) \) can be obtained (Supplementary Note 3).

2.3.2 Relaxation time and the final cycle \( k \)

Similar to the typical \( ZC \) series circuit, the transient period between two steady states depends on the time constant \( \tau = ZC \). For TENGs, due to movements of charges, the total capacitance changes in time. However, as we have proved before, there are two characteristic time constants: \( \tau_0 \) and \( \tau_{max} \), where \( \tau_0 = ZC_0 \), and \( \tau_{max} = ZC_{max} \). \( C_0 \) and \( C_{max} \) stand for the total capacitance of TENGs at \( x = 0 \) and \( x = x_{max} \), respectively. This means the time constant \( \tau \) of TENGs changes between \( \tau_0 \) and \( \tau_{max} \). Here, the relaxation time \( (t_{relax}) \) is closely related to the variation of total capacitance of TENGs, or more accurately, directly related to the effective capacitance (root-mean-square \( (rms) \)) value of TENGs \( (C_{rms}) \), refer to the text below (taking the LS mode TENG as an example).

The effective capacitance of \( C_{rms} \) is derived from:
where \( C_{ac} \) is \( \frac{\varepsilon_0 b}{2d_0} \cos(\omega t) \), which is a function of time, and \( T \) is the period of \( C_{ac} \) (Supplementary Note 4). In fact, the effective value of any quantity plotted as a function of time can be found by using the above equation.\(^{30,31}\) Then, the effective value of \( C(t) \) is obtained through Eq. (8), we have

\[
C_{\text{rms}} = \sqrt{C_n^2 + (C_{ac(rms)})^2}
\]  

(9)

where \( C_n \) is \( \frac{\varepsilon_0 b}{2d_0} (l - \frac{a_{\text{max}}}{2}) \); this is a fixed value, representing the DC component or the average value of \( C(t) \) (Supplementary Note 4). In other words, the total capacitance of the LS mode TENG has both the DC component and AC component determined by the structure of the TENG. This special characteristic leads to a profound difference between the AC output of TENG and a traditional sinusoidal AC power generator, the details of which will be discussed below.

More importantly, in a DC network, it is a fact that the current/voltage of a capacitive approaches zero after about five time constants (\( ZC \)). This conclusion is also applicable for a TENG circuit. Therefore, we can easily obtain the \( \tau_{\text{relax}} \) of a TENG, which is \( \tau_{\text{relax}} = 5ZC_{\text{rms}} \) (Eq. (S30c)); and then the corresponding needed minimum number of cycles \( k_{\text{relax}} \) is:

\[
k_{\text{relax}} = \frac{\tau_{\text{relax}}}{T}
\]  

(10)

indicating that after \( k_{\text{relax}} \) cycles the TENG is effectively in a steady state situation. Here, the relevant minimum integer \( N \) that the TENG needs to reach steady state is defined by \( N = \text{Int}(k_{\text{relax}}) \). \( \text{Int} \) implies rounding up the argument to the nearest integer. While simple, this result is important and applicable to all other types of TENGs despite their different configurations and triggering conditions.

### 2.3.3 Optimum resistance in steady state

From previous work, we know that the TENG is represented through a time-varying capacitor \( (C(t)) \) and an open-circuit voltage source \( (V_{oc}) \).\(^{32}\) In general, the opposition of a capacitor to the flow of charge is called the reactance which results in the continual interchange of energy between the source and the electric field of the capacitor. For the TENG, \( C(t) \) also
offers resistance to the flow of electric charges, i.e., converting mechanical energy into electrical energy stored in \( C(t) \). As for the ideal conductor the time-varying capacitor does not dissipate energy. Here, the capacitive reactance or the internal resistance of the TENG \( (Z_{t}(t)) \) is defined by:

\[
Z_{t}(t) = \frac{1}{\omega C(t)} = \frac{1}{2\pi f C(t)} \quad (11)
\]

where \( \omega \) is the angular velocity, and \( f \) is the frequency of the \( C(t) \). Usually, the change of \( C(t) \) will result in a change of \( Z_{t}(t) \) during the energy conversion process. While the magnitude of the mechanical source varies in different ways, \( Z_{t}(t) \) of TENGs can be calculated by Eq. (11). We can easily prove that for the TENG, \( Z_{t}(t+T) = Z_{t}(t) \) and \( Z_{t}(-t) = Z_{t}(t) \), revealing that this function is a periodic and even function (Supplementary Note 4). Besides, according to the Fourier theorem, a periodic function of frequency \( \omega \) can be expressed as an infinite sum of sine and cosine functions that are integral multiples of \( \omega \). Evidently, an even function contains no sine terms thus \( Z_{t}(t) \) can be expressed as a Fourier cosine series,

\[
Z_{t}(t) = a_{0} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \cos(n\omega t) \quad (12)
\]

where \( a_{0} = \frac{2}{T} \int_{0}^{T} Z_{t}(t) \, dt \) and \( a_{n} = \frac{4}{T} \int_{0}^{T} Z_{t}(t) \cos(n\omega t) \, dt \). The coefficient \( a_{0} \) is the DC component of \( Z_{t}(t) \), the coefficients \( a_{n} \) is the Fourier coefficients representing the amplitude of the various AC components with frequency \( n\omega \). Eq. (12) reveals the difference between \( Z_{t}(t) \) and the reactance of a traditional capacitor \( (X_C) \). Evidently, the Fourier series of a periodic function \( Z_{t}(t) \) resolves \( Z_{t}(t) \) into a DC component and AC component, where the latter is composed of an infinite series of harmonics.

As in the case for the effective capacitance, the \( \text{rms} \) value/effective value of \( Z_{t}(t) \) is

\[
Z_{\text{rms}} = \left[ \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} (Z_{t}(t))^{2} \, dt \right]^{1/2} \quad (13)
\]

where \( T \) represents the period of \( Z_{t}(t) \). This concept of optimizing \( Z \) is in contrast to the typical case in the literature where the load resistance is optimized from a relaxation state (or from the first half cycle).\(^{14,15,18,19}\) In general, if the \( \text{rms} \) of an AC signal has the same value as a DC signal then the two signals dissipate the same amount of power in a circuit. Therefore, \( Z_{\text{rms}} \) can be
regarded as the optimum resistance of a TENG. Indeed, when a loading resistor equal to $Z_{\text{rms}}$ is coupled to the TENG, the maximum output power is obtained. Therefore, $Z_{\text{rms}}$ is called the optimum resistance of TENGs. Moreover, from Eqs. (S31) and (13), we find that $Z_{\text{rms}}$ is a function of geometry and motion parameters such as the motion frequency and maximum separation distance.

### 2.3.4 Effective output and the average power

Subject to periodic mechanical motion, the TENG eventually reaches the steady state resulting in steady power output. Similar to the basic analysis of the $C_{\text{rms}}$ and $Z_{\text{rms}}$, the effective output of TENGs (equivalent to the DC value) can be defined (Supplementary Note 5). More importantly, we have proved that the relationship between the average power ($P_{\text{av}}$) and the product of $V_{\text{rms}}$ and $I_{\text{rms}}$ is:

$$P_{\text{av}} = V_{\text{rms}} I_{\text{rms}} \quad (14)$$

where $V_{\text{rms}}$, $I_{\text{rms}}$ represent the $\text{rms/effective voltage}$, and current of TENGs, respectively. The detailed proofs are provided in part 3.3. Eqs. (14) and (S32a)-(S32d) are regarded as the basic effective output of TENGs. At any time during the positive and negative half periods of the AC current, power is being delivered to the resistor. These equations establish a particular level of power transfer for the full cycle, so that we do not have to determine the level of power to apply to a quantity that varies in time.

### 2.3.5 Figure-of-merits in steady state ($FOM_{T}$)

As mentioned above, effective values are utilized to describe the basic effective output performances of TENGs. To compare and evaluate each TENGs’ unique output characteristic, the figure-of-merit of TENGs in steady state ($FOM_{T}$) is proposed, which is defined as (Supplementary Note 6):

$$FOM_{T} = \frac{2 \varepsilon_{0} E_{\text{ac,opt}}}{\sigma^{2} A x_{\text{max}}} = \frac{2 \varepsilon_{0} (V_{\text{rms}} I_{\text{rms}})_{x+z}}{\sigma^{2} A x_{\text{max}} f} \quad (15)$$

where $E_{\text{ac,opt}}$ is the largest output energy in the steady state, $V_{\text{rms}}$ and $I_{\text{rms}}$ are the effective voltage and current for a load of $Z_{\text{rms}}$, respectively; $A$ is the contacting surface area, and $f$ stands for the frequency of TENGs in steady state.

Note that the $FOM_{T}$ is very different from the $FOM$s presented in literature Ref.15, and
also different compared to the FOMs proposed before. Firstly, the FOMs is defined by Zi et al, considering the values of $V_{oc}$ and transferred charges at SC conditions, is much bigger than the output of TENGs, when a resistor is connected. We present a discussion of FOMs and show that the latter primarily depends on the optimum resistor. Moreover, the FOM is defined for steady state operation and the governing parameters, obtained from the experiments, indicate a simple practical way to optimize the power output. From Eqs. (9), (11) and (13), it follows that once a TENG is designed, only one relevant motion condition (for example the frequency) is needed to obtain the largest output performance.

2.4 Dimensionless analysis and the Governing Equation

In general, dimensional analysis will often give good insight into the physics we analyze. The motivation behind dimensional analysis is that any dimensionally equation can be written in an entirely equivalent non-dimensional form. Here we use this method to study more generally our 3D mathematical model and the AC output of TENGs (Supplementary Note 7). Moreover, a change in any parameter of a TENG gives rise to new specific conditions for the optimal output performance. Here, a multi-parameter case is conveniently investigated using dimensional analysis. This method is effectively used to find the optimum conditions in for maximizing output. We shall use it to derive the non-dimensional form of the governing equation of TENGs and conditions. By different scaling parameters, we define a series of dimensionless parameters: transferred charge ($Q^*$), resistance ($Z^*$), time/phase angle ($t'$ or $\phi$), and electric potential ($\phi'$). Then the governing equation of Eq. (7) becomes:

$$-Z^* \frac{dQ^*}{dt} = \phi' - \phi_0'$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

Eq. (16) is the dimensionless form of the governing equation of TENGs. With the initial condition $Q^*_0(0) = 0$, the analytical solution of $Q^*_0$ can be obtained (Supplementary Note 7).

Moreover, we can also derive the dimensionless capacitance ($C^*$), current ($I^*$), power ($P^*$), average power ($P_{\text{ave}}^*$) and electric energy ($E^*$) delivered to the external resistor $Z^*$ (Supplementary Note 7). Note that normalizing the equations and conditions in part 2.4 allows us to get a reduction in the number of parameters. Based on the above analysis, we obtain dimensionless parameters such as the effective dimensionless transferred charge ($Q_{\text{trans}}^*$), current ($I_{\text{trans}}^*$), voltage ($V_{\text{trans}}^*$), power ($P_{\text{trans}}^*$), electric energy ($E_{\text{trans}}^*$), electric displacement current ($D'$),
displacement current \( I_D^* \), displacement current density \( J_D^* \) and so on (Supplementary Note 8).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 FEM simulation and basic output at OC and SC conditions

The displacement current is the fundamental concept in the theory of TENGs.\(^7\)\(^,\)\(^11\) Therefore, we put our focus on the variation of the electric field \( E \) and electric displacement \( D \) as well as polarization \( P \) (where \( P = (\varepsilon - \varepsilon_0)E \)) in TENGs. Using the finite element method (FEM), a series of results is obtained at OC conditions as demonstrated in Fig. 1. The specified parameters are listed in Table S1, which is the same value as we used in the MATLAB numerical calculations. Fig. 1(a) is a sketch of a typical dielectric-to-dielectric LS mode TENG we analyzed using FEM mode. The charge density on the contacting surfaces is zero because the distance between the generated tribocharges with opposite signs is very small.\(^19\) The charge densities at \( z_1 \) and \( z_2 \) position of the over-lapping area are \( +\sigma_E \) and \( -\sigma_E \), respectively, which are found from Eq. (S12). The distributions of the electric field and electric displacement components \( (E_z, D_z) \) along the \( z \)-direction for different \( x_{\text{max}} \) are illustrated in Fig. 1(b) and 1(c), respectively. When \( a(t) \) increases from 0 to \( x_{\text{max}} \), the \( E_z \) at position \( z_1 \) (non-overlapping area) decreases and then abruptly increases until it approaches a plateau. The decrease trend is mainly due to a number of negative charges accumulating at the overlapping areas at the \( z_1 \) and \( z_2 \) positions so as to ensure the condition of electrostatic equilibrium. But the total charges on the metal electrodes must be zero as pointed out earlier. \( E_z \) at the \( z_2 \) position behaves in a similar way to \( E_z \) at the \( z_1 \) position but the former is characterized by a lower maximum value. This is because the relative permittivity of the bottom dielectric material (\( \varepsilon_1 \)) is only half of the top dielectric material (\( \varepsilon_2 \)), and the relative displacement occurs between the two sliding bars. As demonstrated in Fig. S1(c), some representative points have been detected. For instance, the same trend can be observed at the 0.05 \( X/L \) point (\( z_1 \) position) and 0.95 \( X/L \) point (\( z_2 \) position). A similar tendency is found for the electric displacement as shown in Fig. 1(b).

At the maximum \( x_{\text{max}} = 0.8 \ X/L \), the distributions of \( D_z, E_z \) and \( P_z \) are shown in Fig. d1, d2 and d3, respectively. It is seen that \( D_z, E_z \) and \( P_z \) inside the dielectric exhibit the same direction at the overlapping area. The relationship among them is demonstrated in Fig. S1(a), i.e., \( D_z = \).
When the top sliding bar moves, a large number of charges have to accumulate at the overlapping area according to Eq. (S12). This means that a dramatic change of the electric field occurs at the dielectric-electrode interface at $z_1$ and $z_2$ position. The variations of $E_z$, $P_z$, and $D_z$ inside the dielectric material are also simulated and illustrated in Fig. 1(e), 1(f), 1(g), corresponding to the position of red line in Fig. S1(b). It is seen that the $E_z$ field components in dielectric 1 and dielectric 2 are different, and a sudden increase across the interface is observed. A similar trend with an abrupt increase happens for $P_z$, but $P_z$ in the bottom dielectric is bigger than that at the top dielectric. In contrast, the magnitude of $D_z$ in dielectric 1 is equal to the magnitude of $D_z$ in dielectric 2, i.e., continuity of $D_z$ is found at the interface in accordance with the boundary conditions for the normal electric displacement component. In addition, according to the Eq. (S12), free charges will accumulate at the overlapping area especially for a large $x_{\text{max}}$, which results in a stronger polarization of the dielectric, a larger electric field and electric displacement, as demonstrated in Fig. 1(g). $D_z$ and $P_z$ at position $z_1$ and $P_z$ at position $z_2$ are shown in Fig. S1. It should be noticed that the electric displacement must vanish on the dielectric-electrode interface due to the distribution of free charges.

At SC conditions, the variations of $E_z$ (Fig. 2(a)), $D_z$ (Fig. 2(b)), and $P_z$ (Fig. 2(c)) are clearly different compared to those at OC conditions. $E_z$ at the $z_1$ and $z_2$ positions exhibit an abrupt increase and then saturates at its maximum value. However, the saturated value of $E_z$ at the $z_1$ position is twice as large as that at the $z_2$ position since $\varepsilon_1$ is only a half the value of $\varepsilon_2$.

The total electric displacement is discontinuous at the interface due to the presence of free charges. Fig. S1(f) reveals that $D_z = \varepsilon_0 E_z + P_z$. This result applies to both OC and SC conditions (Fig. S1(a), and Fig. S3(a)). Furthermore, taking the $D_z$ field at the $z_1$ position as an example, we find a negative $D_z$ that increases in magnitude, and then exhibits a sudden increase (in magnitude) for OC conditions (Fig. 1(c)). For SC conditions, a different behavior is observed: $D_z$ is positive and shows an abrupt increase (Fig. 2(b)). Under SC conditions, electrons flow between the two electrodes reduces the potential difference and ensures the electrostatic equilibrium. At OC conditions, there is no charges transferred between the two electrodes. To maintain a zero total charge at each electrode, a large number of electrons accumulate at the overlapping area of the dielectric-electrode interfaces, resulting in a stronger overall electric field and a larger polarization magnitude.
The numerical calculations of $E_z$ at the $z_1$ and the $z_2$ positions using our quasi-analytical 3D model are similar to the FEM simulations as depicted in Fig. 2e and Fig. S4. Further, a comparison was carried out between the present 3D model and the previously published capacitance model (CA model). From the transferred charges and current at the SC condition plots (Fig. 2(f) and 2(g)), it is apparent that the two methods agree thereby demonstrating the correctness and validity of the 3D model. In particular, this model has shown its advantage in terms of offering a more clear physical picture of TENG operation from the viewpoint of classical electrodynamics. The displacement current $I_D$ was also simulated subject to SC and OC conditions as illustrated in Fig. 2(h) and 2(i). The variation of $I_D$ ($I_{D,sc}$) is identical to that of the current ($I_{SC}$) at SC conditions. Interestingly, the magnitude of $I_D$ at OC conditions ($I_{D,oc}$) is equal to $I_{D,sc}$ yet it displays a phase change. This is can be explained by the following two reasons.

Firstly, it is well known that $I_D$ is defined as the rate of change of the electric displacement ($D$), while $D$ is associated with electric field $E$ as $D = \varepsilon E$. For the LS mode TENG at SC conditions, when the upper bar starts to move, charges will flow from the bottom electrode to the top electrode leading to a reduced potential difference. Secondly, subject to OC conditions, no charges can transfer from the external circuit of TENGs. As the upper bar moves, the electric field generated by the triboelectric charges yields a polarized dielectric. To ensure electrostatic equilibrium, the electric field is shielded by the free/image charges on each electrode. In particular, when the separation distance increases, charges accumulate on the overlapping area so as to keep the total numbers of positive and negative charges on the electrode equal to each other. Thus, more charges are accumulated at the overlapping area leading to an increase in the electric field in the plane of contacting surface, but along the opposite direction (Fig. 1(b) and 1(c)). The end result is an $I_{D,OC}$ in opposite phase compared with the $I_{D,SC}$ subject to SC conditions. Furthermore, a comparison of electric potential and potential differences between the 3D model and FEM simulations are demonstrated in Fig. S3(b) and S3(d), respectively. We notice that perfect agreement is found. More importantly, it is clear that there exists a big offset from zero of the potential differences ($V_{OC}$) in Fig. S3(b). However, for a traditional sinusoidal current, it always reverses at regular time intervals and has alternately positive and negative values, and its average value is zero. So there is a big difference between the basic output of
the LS mode TENG and a traditional sinusoidal AC power generator. This phenomenon can also be found in the contact-separation and single-electrode mode TENG, which is mainly due to the DC component in $C(t)$, as mentioned in part 2.3.2.\textsuperscript{9,14,18} Besides, the potential peak at different $x_{\text{max}}$ is also calculated as shown in Fig. S3(c). It is apparent that the potential peak increases as the maximum $x_{\text{max}}$ increases. This trend was also found in the previous published model.\textsuperscript{19}

3.2 AC output at different loading conditions

We have systematically simulated the AC output of the LS mode TENG according to the developed quasi-analytical 3D model. By use of Eq. (7), the charge transfer process at different loading conditions (from $Z = 5 \times 10^7 \Omega$ to $Z = 1 \times 10^9 \Omega$) has been calculated as indicated in Fig. 3(a)-3(e). It is clear that the output performance is between the results associated with OC and SC conditions, as one would expect. In Fig. 3(a)-3(e), the relaxation state and steady state are remarkable different. The response approaching steady state changes with different load resistors. For instance, when $Z$ is $5 \times 10^7 \Omega$, the relaxation time $\tau$ is 0.091s and the minimum needed cycle is 1, which means that after 0.091s or at least 1 cycle the TENG reaches steady state. However, when $Z$ increases to $1 \times 10^9 \Omega$, the minimum needed cycle is 12 cycles, and the relaxation time increases to 1.838s, being much longer than that of a small resistor.

This is due to the following reasons. Firstly, the loaded resistor offers resistance to the flow of charges between the two electrodes leading to a slower charge transfer rate than that at SC conditions (Fig. 2(f)). Taking the $Z = Z_{\text{opt}}$ ($Z_{\text{opt}} = 1.19 \times 10^8 \Omega$) as an example (Fig. 3(b), Fig. 4(a) and 4(b)), when the upper sliding bar starts to move from the left to right, the electric field generated by the tribocharges move in the dielectric, inducing electrons at the dielectric-electrode interface, leading to a potential difference between the two electrodes. To decrease this potential difference, electrons transfer rapidly from the bottom electrode to the top one. However, due to the resistance caused by the load resistor, the electron transfer rate is lower than that at SC conditions. As the total transfer of electrons increase, the relevant total electric field and potential difference decrease (Fig. 4(b)), leading to a gradual decrease of the charge transfer rate (Fig. 3(b) and Fig. 4(a)), then generating a peak voltage and current (Fig. 4(a) and 4(b)). After the upper sliding bar reaches $x_{\text{max}}$, charge transfer still occurs but at a slower rate. When the upper bar starts to reverse, the total electric field and potential difference decrease to
0 and then increase in the reverse direction. As a result, charges transfer from the top electrode to the bottom one leading to a peak charge during the transfer process. Similarly, the loaded resistor will reduce the charge transfer rate again. After many cycles, the frequency of charge transfer is equivalent to the characteristic frequency of the mechanical motion, i.e., the TENG system reaches steady state.

For the relaxation state, the situation is markedly different as mentioned above. On the other hand, as discussed in part 2.3.2, the charge transfer process between the two electrodes is analogous to the charging/discharging process of a traditional ZC series circuit. From Eq. (9), we obtain $C(t)$ and its $rms$ value as shown in Fig. 3(f). Initially, there is a larger $C_0$ at $x = 0$; when $x(t)$ increases, the corresponding $C(t)$ decreases until it reaches its minimum value $C_{\text{max}}$, the process of which is equivalent to a charging/discharging process in the ZC circuit. On the contrary, the process can be regarded as a discharging/charging process. From Eq. (S30c), we can calculate an approximate time constant/relaxation time during the AC output process. The relaxation time depends on both of the loaded resistor and effective value of $C(t)$.

Eqs. (9) and (S30c) describe well the relaxation state of TENGs. For instance, as shown in Fig. 3(e), a clear difference in the transferred charge from the relaxation state to steady state is found. In fact, even in steady state, the plot of the charge transfer is not a pure sinusoidal curve.

The internal resistance and optimum resistance of the LS mode TENG are plotted in Fig. 3(g). We observe a DC component in the AC signals. Although the AC plot is not a pure cosine curve, it is periodic with the characteristic frequency of $\omega$. As discussed in part 2.3.3, the effective value of $Z(t)$ is equal to $Z_{\text{opt}}$ of the LS mode TENG. Fig. 3(b) depicts the transferred charge-time relationship for $Z_{\text{opt}}$; we will prove later that the largest output occurs for $Z_{\text{opt}}$. The relaxation time and minimum needed cycles with different load resistors are shown in Fig. 3(h). Fig. 3(i) shows the influence of $x_{\text{max}}$ on the relaxation time and the ratio of $t_{\text{fixed}}/t_{\text{ac.rms}}$ for different $Z_{\text{opt}}$. The time $t$ increases with the increase of $x_{\text{max}}$, which is attributed to the increase of $C_{\text{max}}$, in agreement with Eq. (9). However, the ratio of $t_{\text{fixed}}/t_{\text{ac.rms}}$ decreases. Eqs. (8) and (S30a) reveal that $t_{\text{fixed}}$ decreases, but the $t_{\text{ac.rms}}$ decreases as $x_{\text{max}}$ increases, eventually reducing the ratio.

In addition, the influence of $x_{\text{max}}$ on $C_{\text{fixed}}$ and $C_{\text{ac.rms}}$ is shown in Fig. S5, from which we can clearly find that the $C_{\text{fixed}}$ (or $C_{\text{ac.rms}}$) increases as $x_{\text{max}}$ increases. The relationship among $Z_{\text{opt}}$, frequency and $x_{\text{max}}$ are also discussed, as depicted in Fig. 3(j) and 3(k). Our results suggest that
$Z_{\text{opt}}$ is directly proportional to the $x_{\text{max}}$ (Fig. 3(k)) but inversely proportional to the frequency (Fig. 3(j)), and the frequency has a strong effect on $Z_{\text{opt}}$. For instance, when the frequency of TENGs increases to $1 \times 10^8$ Hz, the ideal $Z_{\text{opt}}$ is approximately reduced to a small value 1 Ω.

To investigate the relaxation state and steady state, the basic output performances, including the charge-time, current-time, voltage-time, power-time and energy-time relationships for $Z = Z_{\text{opt}}$ and $Z = 1 \times 10^9$ Ω, are calculated using Eqs. (7) and (S24)-(S26). As shown in Fig. 4(a), the point $A$ in the charge curve represents the relaxations time, which is 0.218 s. This means that after 0.218 s, the TENG system reaches steady state, the corresponding frequency is equal to the characteristic frequency of the mechanical motion. Point $C$ and point $E$ stand for the second and third cycles and both period are 0.16 s, equivalent to the mechanical period. We notice that the charge at point $A$ ($Q_A$), point $C$ ($Q_C$) and point $E$ ($Q_E$) are 28.62 nC, 28.69 nC, and 28.7 nC, respectively. Clearly, $Q_C$ is almost equal to $Q_E$, indicating that the TENG is in steady state. Besides, as depicted in Fig. 3(a), point $B$ in the current curve represents the first period of the TENG system, while point $D$ and $F$ stand for the second and third cycles, respectively. It is seen that the time at point $B$ is 0.18 s, which is smaller than the relaxation time of 0.218 s, indicating that the TENG has not reached steady state. The time at point $D$ and point $F$ are 0.35s and 0.51s, respectively, and the period of each cycle is 0.16 s, proving again that the TENG is in steady state.

Using the same approach, we have investigated the basic AC output performance at a larger resistance of $1 \times 10^9$ Ω, as shown in Fig. S6(a) and S6(b). When the load resistor increases, the relaxation time and corresponding needed cycle all increase. In particular, a general analysis of the power and energy in steady state is demonstrated. As shown in Fig. 4(b), during the positive and negative half periods of the AC current, power is delivered to the resistor. And the period of the power output for a load resistor $Z_{\text{opt}}$ is equal to the period of voltage. This implies there are two different peak powers in one cycle attributed to the difference of $C_0$ and $C_{\text{max}}$ for TENGs, resulting in the asymmetry of voltage and current, finally generating the different peak power at steady state. Moreover, the energy from the first half cycle is different from that of the second one. As illustrated in Fig. 4(b), the $\Delta E_1$ representing the harvested energy at the first half cycle is 2.73 μJ, which is different compared to that of the second half cycle of 1.50 μJ (stands by $\Delta E_2$).
On the other hand, after the TENG system reaches steady state, a detailed understanding of the phase relationships for different loading conditions is very important. In steady state, all of the basic output signals such as the charge, current, voltage have the characteristic frequency of the mechanical motion. Their output peak signals do not show any significant change, i.e., the maximum/minimum peak charge ($Q_{mp}/Q_{np}$), the positive/negative peak current ($I_{pp}/I_{np}$), the positive/negative voltage peak ($V_{pp}/V_{np}$), and the maximum/minimum peak power ($P_{mp}/P_{np}$). Moreover, the voltage and current are in phase both for relaxation state and steady state, as shown in Fig. 4(b), 4(d), and Fig. S6(b). This means that voltage and current are in phase. Nevertheless, the phase angle of the voltage (charge, or the current) changes with the load resistor. As depicted in Fig. 4(a) and 4(b), we find that the phase angle between the charge curve and current plot of $Z_{opt}$ is 0. When the load resistor is lower than $Z_{opt}$, smaller time is needed to reach the peak charge; instead, when a load resistor larger than $Z_{opt}$ is used, a longer time is needed. So the charge curve of the smaller resistance is said to lead the charge curve of $Z_{opt}$; on the contrary, it lags the charge plot above $Z_{opt}$ by some angle even though waveforms display the same frequency. The phase angle difference mainly comes from the “initial phase” difference (left figures in Fig. 4(c)), which is caused by the different resistance from the load resistor. Variations of the phase for transferred charges and current from the first cycle to steady state cycle at different load resistances are shown in Fig. S7 and Fig. S8. The same phenomena can be observed in Fig. 4(d) and 4(e). Fig. S6(c) illustrates the harvested energy from the first cycle to the steady state cycle with different load resistances, from which it is seen that the maximum energy is obtained under the $Z_{opt}$. Furthermore, the period and corresponding frequency in each cycle at relaxation state are extracted and illustrated in Fig. 4(f). The period decreases gradually as the increase of the operation cycle; however, the corresponding frequency displays an increase gradient until it becomes the mechanical frequency, indicating that the TENG system has reached in steady state. Hence one can tell if the TENG system is in a state of relaxation or at steady state and even how many more cycles are needed to reach steady state by the frequency of each cycle.

3.3 Effective value and the maximum average power

In AC outputs, the magnitude of charge (Fig. 5(a), Fig. S9(b)), current (Fig. S9(a) and Fig. S9(d)), and voltage (Fig. 5(b) and Fig. S9(c)) all vary with time. In order to obtain the
appropriate measure of current and voltage that represents the real effect, effective values are commonly used terms when discussing periodic signals. In general, rms is the most common method utilized to find the effective values of voltage or current while dealing with AC circuit.\textsuperscript{31} Using this approach, the \( Q_{\text{rms}} \) and \( V_{\text{rms}} \) at \( Z_{\text{opt}} \) and \( 5 \times 10^8 \) \( \Omega \) are calculated and demonstrated in Fig. 5(a) and 5(b), respectively. It should be recognized that there exists an offset from zero in each signal, directly indicating that a bias voltage, equivalent to a DC voltage, appears in the output signal besides the AC voltage component. This phenomenon is different compared to the traditional sinusoidal alternating current since the latter is completely symmetric about the x axis and the average value is zero. Therefore, there is an important difference between the LS mode TENG and the tradition sinusoidal AC power generator. However, for a freestanding mode TENG, an exact symmetry in the voltage/current relationship is found mainly attributed to its constant capacitance, even though the \( x_{\text{max}} \) changes.\textsuperscript{10,14,18} In Fig. 5(a), \( Q_{\text{rms}} \) at \( 5 \times 10^8 \) \( \Omega \) is about 38.33 nC, close to that of the \( Q_{\text{rms}} \) at \( Z_{\text{opt}} \) (35.83 nC). The relationship among \( Q_{\text{rms}} \) values at different load resistors in steady state shown in Fig. S10; but the \( Q_{\text{steady}} \) of the former shows a small variation range ((\( Q_{\text{mp}} \) - \( Q_{\text{np}} \))). On the contrary, the \( V_{\text{steady}} \) of \( 5 \times 10^8 \) \( \Omega \) (Fig. 5(b)) demonstrates a larger variation range ((\( V_{\text{pp}} \) - \( V_{\text{np}} \))) and a higher \( V_{\text{rms}} \) when compared to those at \( Z_{\text{opt}} \). The same phenomenon is also observed from \( I_{\text{rms}} \) as shown in Fig. S9(a). Notice that the reason of the small variation range of \( Q \) or large variation range of \( V \) with high resistance will be discussed latter.

The peaks of \( V_{\text{rms}}, I_{\text{rms}} \) and \( P_{\text{av}} \) in steady state under different load resistors are extracted and plotted in Fig. 5(c). With a relatively low resistance, the corresponding \( V_{\text{rms}} \) is lower, leading to a lower \( P_{\text{av}} \). On the contrary, the \( I_{\text{rms}} \) decreases significantly at larger resistances, while the voltage increases, resulting in a small \( P_{\text{av}} \) again. However, for a load around \( 1 \times 10^8 \) \( \Omega \), both the \( V_{\text{rms}} \) and \( I_{\text{rms}} \) are in the transitional stage, and the maximum \( P_{\text{av}} \) is obtained in this region. So, three different working regions are predicted from these profiles, which is in good accordance with previous researches.\textsuperscript{11,13} More importantly, we can find that the \( P_{\text{av}} \) is equal to the product of \( V_{\text{rms}} \) and \( I_{\text{rms}} \), which is \( P_{\text{av}} = V_{\text{rms}} \times I_{\text{rms}} \). Then we can obtain the Eq. (14) in part 2.3.4. In particular, we also get the conclusions: \( I_{\text{rms}} = V_{\text{rms}}/Z \), and \( P_{\text{av}} = V_{\text{rms}}^2/2 \). The maximum \( P_{\text{av}} \) is obtained at the 1.19×10^8 \( \Omega \), the resistance of which equals the result calculated by Eq. (13),
offering a direct evidence for the prediction that the \textit{rms} value of $Z_T(t)$ can be regarded as the optimum resistance of TENGs. The $P_{av}$ for the optimum resistance in steady state ($Z_{\text{opt,steady}}$) is about 26.65 $\mu$W, which is improved by a factor of 77.5 % when compared to that at the optimum resistance calculated for the first half cycle ($Z_{\text{opt,1half}}$) (Fig. 5(d)). The bigger difference between the two $P_{av}$ is due to the difference of the loaded optimum resistance. As depicted in Fig. 5(d), the $Z_{\text{opt}}$ in the first cycle is $3.14 \times 10^8$ $\Omega$, while that at steady state is $1.19 \times 10^8$ $\Omega$, so clearly the two are significantly different. Obviously, we arrive at the conclusion that the optimum resistance of a TENG system is more appropriately calculated using the effective value of $Z_T(t)$ rather than from the first half cycle or in the relaxation state.

3.4 Relationship between the electric field and displacement current

We know that the generation of displacement current is due to the rate of change of the electric field, and the displacement current is the fundamental quantity of TENGs. Fig. 6(a) and 6(b) illustrate the electric field at $z_1$ position vs. time and relevant displacement current vs. time for a load $Z_{\text{opt}}$. Firstly, we find that both signals are always parallel and have the same frequency. When the electric field varies from the relaxation state to steady state, the relevant $I_D$ changes simultaneously. But the two signals change with opposite phase as shown in Fig. 6(a) and 6(b). When comparing with the electric field for a resistance of $1 \times 10^9$ $\Omega$ (Fig. 6(c)), a small steady variation range of electric field and $I_D$ are found. Increasing the load resistances results in an increase of the steady component of the charge, current, voltage and electric field, and the offset value from zero of each signal (similar to a DC component) is also improved, refer to Fig. 6(a), 6(b), and Fig. S11. As discussed before, a larger resistance leads to an increase of the relaxation time; however, within a limited time, only part of the free charges can flow between the electrodes, resulting in a small steady variation range of the transferred charges. More importantly, to ensure electrostatic equilibrium, more charges must accumulate at the overlapping area of the two sliding bars, making the potential difference between the two electrodes higher, again leading to a larger offset value from the 0. It is expected that a small variation of the transferred charge and the effective voltage is obtained for a high resistor value, i.e., close to OC conditions.

The temporal behavior of the displacement current $I_D$ from the first cycle to the steady state cycle shown in Fig. 6(e) is identical to the change of the conduction current, refer to Fig.
Fig. 6(f) shows the change of electric field at $z_1$ position. It is found that the electric fields under various load resistors are different to each other even in the same cycle, and their trends in changes show good resemblance with those of $I_D$. A comparison was carried out between the electric field at $z_1$ and $z_2$ positions for the load resistance $Z_{opt}$, as illustrated in Fig. 6(g). We notice that the variation of electric fields display the same phase both for the first cycle and at steady state, but clearly with different magnitudes. In fact, the electric field contribution from charges at the $z_2$ position/top electrode is shielded due to distributed free charges at the bottom dielectric-electrode interface. Hence, a different magnitude of electric field occurs. Another reason is the different permittivity of the two dielectrics as mentioned before.

### 3.5 Dimensional analysis

A dimensional analysis often enables one to predict the behavior of large systems from a study of small-scale models. It can also provide a useful cataloging system of physical quantities. Fig. S12(a) and S12(b) demonstrate the dimensionless charge $Q^*$ vs. phase angle $\phi$ and the dimensionless voltage $V^*$ vs. $\phi$ from the first cycle to a steady state cycle subject to different dimensionless load resistors $Z^*$, respectively. Evidently, these results show similar profiles to the real charge/voltage tendency demonstrated in Fig. 4(c) and S7. Besides, the $Q^*V^*$ plots from the first cycle and steady state cycle are illustrated in Fig. 7(a) and 7(b), respectively. From Fig. 7(a) we cannot get the closed $Q^*V^*$ plot, in particular with a larger $Z^*$. However, these $Q^*V^*$ plots in steady state are always closed both for a lower $Z^*$ ($Z_1^* = 0.044$) and a higher $Z^*$ ($Z_2^* = 4.353$). The largest $Q^*V^*$ plot is obtained when $Z_{opt}^*$ is 0.518, i.e., we can predict the largest dimensionless $P_{av,*}$ and the largest $E^*$. Furthermore, the basic dimensionless parameters such as $Q^*, P^*, V^*, P_{av,*}, E^*$ at different dimensionless distance of $x_{max,*}$ are depicted in Fig. S12-S13 and Fig. 7(c). It is seen that these parameter outputs increase with the increase of the $x_{max,*}$. This is easy to understand since more charges can flow between the electrodes at a larger $x_{max,*}$. Moreover, the effective dimensionless parameters also increase with the increase of $x_{max,*}$(Fig. S12(c)-S12(e), and Fig. S13(c)).

As discussed above, we have derived the structural figure-of-merit of TENGs at steady state ($FOM_t$). Before that, the maximum dimensionless average power ($P_{av,max,*}$) at various $x_{max}$ must be calculated as shown in Fig. S14, and the corresponding average power is depicted in Fig. S13(d). Fig. 7(d) depicts the structure figure-of-merits of the LS mode TENG at different
When \( x_{\text{max}} \) increases, \( P_{\text{av}, \text{max}} \) increases simultaneously, resulting in an increase of \( \text{FOM}_{T} \) and consistent with the structural figure-of-merit of TENGs for an infinite resistance or/and subject to the optimum resistance calculated from the first half cycle (\( \text{FOM}_{S} \) and \( \text{FOM}_{RS} \)).

Note that the plot of \( \text{FOM}_{T} \) is smaller than that of the \( \text{FOM}_{S} \) and \( \text{FOM}_{RS} \), since the relevant harvested energy is lower at steady state, all free charges are not transferred in one cycle. However, for the \( \text{FOM}_{S} \) and \( \text{FOM}_{RS} \), where all free charges are transferred in a cycle, leading to a larger magnitude of energy output results during one operation cycle. We believe that the strategy for deriving \( \text{FOM}_{T} \) at steady state of the LS mode TENG is applicable to other types of triboelectric nanogenerators with a time-varying capacitance.

Although this 3D model can describe the planar geometry of TENGs directly, for a non-planar geometry such as a convex–concave surface, it can be convenient to recast the problem using e.g. cylindrical or spherical coordinates or other coordinate systems. On the other hand, the important feature of the TENG is that the electric field is a dynamic variable that depends on several parameters related to structure, material, motions, and loading conditions etc. The combined characteristics of a TENG require solving a multi-parameter problem simultaneously so as to simulate the output performance. For this reason, a dimensionless approach, as presented here, is useful.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, a systematic analysis of the generation of displacement current by use of a 3D mathematical model is provided for the linear sliding mote TENG, based on which a theoretical model to characteristic the basic AC output performances was developed. Firstly, our results shed light on the change of electric field and the generation of displacement current in TENGs, which are in opposite phase. Besides, for the first time, we find a bias voltage equivalent to a DC voltage contained in the basic AC output, giving rise to a big difference between a TENG and the traditional AC power generation system. In the light of our findings, the impedance of TENGs \( (Z(t)) \) is comprised by a DC component and several AC frequency components; and the rms value of \( 1/\omega C \) can be regarded as the optimum resistance of TENGs at steady state. Using a simple approach, we have demonstrated how optimization of the load resistance results in a substantially higher average power (77.5%) than the previously published method where the load resistance is optimized from the relaxation state alone. Furthermore, analytical equations of relaxation time and minimum needed
cycles are presented, describing the basic outputs of TENGs in the relaxation state. Finally, a normalization of the governing equation is derived using dimensional analysis, based on which the structural figure-of-merit of TENGs is expanded to cover steady state operation. It is predicted that a comprehensive understanding of the generation and variation of the displacement current from classical electrodynamics and the analytical methods of AC output theory that we use in this work can be utilized to also characterize other types of TENGs.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

See the supplementary material for further details on the methods such as the FEM simulation, formula derivation and so on.
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FIG. 1. Finite element modeling (FEM) simulation of a typical linear sliding (LS) mode triboelectric nanogenerator (TENG) at open-circuit (OC) conditions. (a) Schematic of a typical dielectric-to-dielectric LS mode TENG in Cartesian coordinates. (b, c) Calculated the z-component of (b) the electric field ($E_z$) distribution and (c) the electric displacement ($D_z$) distribution. $z_1$ and $z_2$ represent the positions of the top electrode-dielectric interface and bottom electrode-dielectric interface, respectively. (d1, d2 and d3) Distribution of the z-component of (d1) the electric displacement, (d2) the electric field and (d3) the polarization vector ($P_z$). (e, f, g) $E_z$ (e), $P_z$ (f), and $D_z$ (g) inside the dielectric for the overlapping area change with the relative movement of the two triboelectric surfaces. Note that positions in the top electrode-dielectric interface ($z_2$) are specified relative to the positions in the bottom electrode-dielectric interface ($z_1$).
FIG. 2. FEM simulations and 3D model results of the LS mode TENG at short-circuit (SC) condition. (a, b, c) Calculation of the z-component of (a) the electric field ($E_z$) distribution, (b) the electric displacement ($D_z$) distribution, and (c) the polarization vector ($P_z$) distribution. (d) Relationship between $\varepsilon_0 E_z$ and $P_z$ at the $z_2$ position. Note that positions in the top electrode-dielectric interface ($z_2$) are specified relative to the positions in the bottom electrode-dielectric interface ($z_1$). (e) Comparison of $E_z$ for relative separation distances based on FEM simulations and 3D mathematical model. (f, g) Comparison of the transferred charges (f) and short circuit current (g) using the 3D model and the capacitance (CA) mode. (h, i) Variation of the displacement current ($I_D$) at (h) SC condition and (i) OC condition.
FIG. 3. AC output characteristics of the LS mode TENG and the optimum resistance in steady state. (a, b, c, and d) Transferred charge vs. time for different loading conditions: (a) $Z = 5 \times 10^7 \ \Omega$, (b) $Z = Z_{\text{opt}}$, (c) $Z = 5 \times 10^8 \ \Omega$, (d) $Z = 1 \times 10^9 \ \Omega$. Two different states i.e., the relaxation state and steady state can be seen in the cycles. (e) Local enlarged plots from fig. 3d to indicate the variation of the transferred charge from the relaxation state to steady state at $Z = 1 \times 10^9 \ \Omega$. (f) Capacitance of the LS mode TENG vs. time subject to periodic mechanical motion. Root-mean-square capacitance ($C_{\text{rms}}$) calculated from the periodic curve. (g) Reactance ($1/(\omega C)$) of the LS mode TENG vs. time for periodic mechanical motion. The root-mean-square reactance can be regarded as the optimum resistance ($Z_{\text{opt}}$) of the LS mode TENG at steady state. (h) Relaxation time ($\tau$) and corresponding maximum needed cycles ($k$) for different load resistors. (i) The influence of the maximum separation distance ($x_{\text{max}}$) on the relaxation time and the ratio of $\tau_{\text{total}}/\tau_{\text{rms}}$. $\tau_{\text{total}}$ and $\tau_{\text{rms}}$ represent the relaxation time due to the fixed capacitance and time-varying capacitance of the LS mode TENG at different $x_{\text{max}}$, respectively. (j) Relationship between frequency and $Z_{\text{opt}}$. (k) Relationship between frequency and $Z_{\text{opt}}$ for various $x_{\text{max}}$ values.
FIG. 4. AC output characteristics of the LS mode TENG and phase relations for different load resistances. (a) Transferred charges and current vs. time at $Z = Z_{\text{opt}}\Omega$. (b) Voltage, power and energy vs. time at $Z = Z_{\text{opt}}\Omega$. (c, d, e) Variations of the phase ((c) charge, (d) current, and (e) instantaneous power) from the first cycle to a steady state cycle for different load resistances. (f) Variations of the relaxation time and corresponding frequency for three typical load resistances.
FIG. 5. AC output characteristics at steady state and root mean square values. (a, b) Comparisons of (a) charge-time and (b) voltage-time at load resistances of $Z = Z_{opt}$ and $Z = 5 \times 10^8 \Omega$, respectively. Observe that the root mean square represents the equivalent DC value of the signals at steady state. For instance, $V_{rms}$ stands for the equivalent DC value or effective value of the voltage signal at steady state. (c) The influence of the load resistance on the equivalent DC value of the voltage ($V_{rms}$), current ($I_{rms}$) and average power ($P_{ave}$). Notice that $P_{ave}$ is equal to the product of $V_{rms}$ and $I_{rms}$, and the maximum average power ($P_{ave,max}$) can be obtained at $Z_{opt}$. (d) Comparison of the maximum average power from two different optimum resistances: the first one is from steady state ($Z_{opt,steady}$), and the other is for the first half cycle ($Z_{opt,1half}$) calculated using the same method as before. It can be clearly seen that the $P_{ave,max}$ at $Z_{opt,steady}$ is improved about 77.5% in comparison with that of $Z_{opt,1half}$.
FIG. 6. Electric field and corresponding displacement current vs. time for different loading resistances. (a) Variation of electric field at the $z_1$ position (bottom electrode) and the corresponding (b) displacement current vs. time under the optimum resistance. (c) Variation of electric field at position $z_2$ and the corresponding (d) displacement current vs. time for a large resistor $Z = 1\times10^9 \Omega$. (e, f) Variations of the (e) displacement current and (f) electric field at position $z_1$ from the first cycle to a steady state cycle for different load resistances. (g) Comparison of the electric field at $z_1$ and $z_2$ positions from the first cycle to a steady state cycle at the optimum resistance.
FIG. 7. Dimensionless analysis of the alternating current output performances of the LS mode TENG. (a, b) $Q^*V^*$ diagrams from the first cycle to a steady state cycle for different $Z^*$. (c) Comparison of the $E_{\text{steady}}^*$ at steady state for different $x_{\text{max}}^*$. (d) The figure-of-merits calculated in steady state ($FOM_t$) for the LS mode TENG. Note that $FOM_{RS}$ and $FOM_S$ represent the structural figure-of-merits of TENGs for $Z_{\text{opt}}$ and infinite resistor, refer to Shao et al. (Ref. 10) and Zi et al. (Ref. 15), respectively.
FIG. 1.

(a) Diagram showing electric field $E_{x1}$ and $E_{x2}$ with maximum values.

(b) Graph showing electric field $E_{x1}$ and $E_{x2}$ with maximum values.

(c) Graph showing electric field $E_{x1}$ and $E_{x2}$ with maximum values.

(d) Graph showing electric field $E_{x1}$ and $E_{x2}$ with maximum values.

(e) Graph showing electric field $E_{x1}$ and $E_{x2}$ with maximum values.

(f) Graph showing electric field $E_{x1}$ and $E_{x2}$ with maximum values.

(g) Graph showing electric field $E_{x1}$ and $E_{x2}$ with maximum values.

(h) Graph showing electric field $E_{x1}$ and $E_{x2}$ with maximum values.

(i) Graph showing electric field $E_{x1}$ and $E_{x2}$ with maximum values.
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