Triboelectric liquid volume sensor for self-powered lab-on-chip applications
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1. Introduction

Wearable medical devices based on lab-on-chip (LoC) technology have received major attention recently owing to their considerable practicability for health monitoring and disease treatment [1–3]. The interest, within the medical community, for wearable medical monitoring systems arises from the need of monitoring patients from a distance and over long periods of time. Such on-body monitoring devices can alert the patient of any imminent health hazard and hence facilitate rapid corrective clinical action outside of the hospital environment. Currently, several wearable sensors for health monitoring have been explored, including devices that measure hydration [4], strain [5], glycaemia [6–8], metabolic acid [9] and cardiorespiratory signals [10]. While these medical devices monitor important health parameters, there is strong need for wearable systems designed for chronic diseases treatment such as hypertension, osteoporosis and diabetes. In such cases, the patients are preferably to have convenient means for self-administered transdermal drug delivery. Several kinds of skin patches have been developed and demonstrated to fulfill these requirements such as microneedle skin patch for insulin delivery [11,12] and pain patch to relief pain [13]. With the drug coated on the surface, these skin patches are suitable for self-administered drug delivery. Meanwhile, the drug coating method can only provide limited drug volume and the dose to be delivered also cannot be controlled.

However, for the treatment of diseases such as type 2 diabetes and osteoporosis, multiple drug injections per day with dose...
control of each injection will be necessary. The skin patches mentioned above cannot fully meet these requirements. Thus, large delivery volume and a precise control mechanism are desirable features for wearable skin patch drug delivery system but not developed yet. It means within the skin patch, a liquid volume sensor with proper mechanism should be integrated with the fluidic system for drug loading and delivery. Moreover, a standalone wearable drug delivery skin patch with capability of health monitoring, signal processing and interfacing with external cloud computing apparatus, an built-in energy source is inevitable to power components such as integrated circuits (ICs), microprocessor, liquid-crystal display (LCD) reading panel, drug delivery and control actuators, and diversified sensors, e.g., glucose sensor [14].

Here, we report a LoC drug delivery patch with manually-controlled drug delivery function and power source which is suitable for further integration with other active components for more applications. The key innovation of our design is that the liquid volume could be sensed by a triboelectric mechanism using the same materials for LoC. Thus the delivery volume can be well measured and controlled during the drug delivery process. A microneedle array was assembled onto the patch to confirm the drug control function by insulin delivery tests on rats. To make the patch able to maintain a tight and stable contact with the contoured and deformable skin surface, the whole device is made of PDMS, a known biocompatible soft and flexible material. By leveraging the similar triboelectric mechanism as the liquid sensor, we developed and optimized a stacked layer design triboelectric energy harvester (TEH) which is suitable to be attached onto the patch as an energy source. Such energy source can be used to support the operation of other components.

2. Experiment

2.1. Design structure and working principle of triboelectric liquid volume sensor

We propose a LoC drug delivery patch with manually-controlled drug delivery and dose volume monitoring as shown in Fig. 1(A). The microfluidics control system has four parts: two check-valves in series, a pneumatic pump-chamber between these two check-valves, several drug reservoirs in series and microfluidic channels connecting all these components. By pressing the pump chamber, the liquid will be sucked into the channel from the inlet and delivered out from the outlet as shown in Fig. 1(A). The detailed structure and working principle are shown in Fig. S1.

A TEH, which is the main component of the liquid volume sensor, was integrated above the pump chamber. Although TEH based liquid flow sensor has been studied [15]. The proposed liquid volume sensor using the triboelectric sensing mechanism has not been demonstrated yet. Currently, the triboelectric sensing mechanism has been investigated in terms of chemical sensor [14, 16–18], pressure sensor [19–21], motion sensor [22–28] and tactile sensor [29–31] because of its advantage of easy fabrication and self-powered feature. Here we assembled a triboelectric layer pair with the same area as the pump chamber, i.e., of $1.2 \times 1.2 \text{ cm}^2$ for sensing liquid volume. The working principle and detailed structure of the fabricated delivery volume sensor is depicted in Fig. 1(B). The fabrication process of the TEH with one layer triboelectric pair is shown in Fig. S2.

Fig. 1(B) shows a detailed structure of the TEH assembled for liquid volume sensor. A dielectric PDMS layer with pyramid shape micro-patterns was used to enhance the surface area and output voltage. Underneath the PDMS layer, a Kapton tape was attached as an intermediary layer for metal deposition. To have a better adhesion between Cu and Kapton, a Cr layer was deposited before the deposition of Cu layer. In order to protect the metal layer, a parylene layer was coated by CVD onto the whole surface of the TEH, including the PDMS top surface. Then the TEH was assembled in a PDMS chamber with Al layer coated on the ceiling.

In the original position, the surface of the TEH and ceiling are not in contact (Fig. 1(a1) and (b1)). When the bottom layer of the pump chamber is pressed, the liquid or the air in the in the pump chamber will be compressed and the top layer of the pump chamber will also be deformed. This deformation will induce a contact between the top layer of the TEH and the ceiling of the chamber.
PDMS chamber, resulting in charge transport between them (Fig. 1 (a2) and (b2)). According to the triboelectric theory, electrons are transferred from the parylene to the Al during the electrification process, since parylene is triboelectrically negative and Al is triboelectrically positive. The change of the negative charges on the surface of the parylene can induce positive charges on the Cu electrode, driving free electrons to flow from the Cu layer to the ground. An output voltage or signal is generated. Once the pressing is released, the parylene and Al surfaces are separated (Fig. 1 (a3) and (b3)). The recovery of the surface negative charge on parylene surface will induce a backflow of electrons from ground to Cu electrode. An opposite signal will be generated. The output voltage is generated by the contact between parylene and Al and it is determined by the contact area. And this contact area is also determined by the deformation of the pump-chamber, which is related with the delivery volume. Thus, based on the output signal, the delivery volume can be measured.

To avoid the interference from the backside of the TEH, the bottom of the chamber is also coated with parylene as shown in Fig. 1(C). Thus, the backside of the TEH and the bottom surface of the chamber are of the same material. The contact of these two interfaces will not generate any output voltage.

To achieve the highest voltage, the surface materials of the triboelectric layer pair should be optimized. The gap between the top and bottom of the delivery volume sensor is critical to measurement accuracy of the liquid volume sensor. To ensure a good accuracy of this delivery volume sensor, this gap is also optimized.

3. Result and discussion
3.1. Optimization and characterization of the surface materials of the triboelectric layer pair

To enhance the sensitivity of the delivery volume sensor, the output voltage of the TEH is expected to be as high as possible. Here we investigated the effect of surface pyramid micro-pattern, material of contact surface and the thickness of the PDMS dielectric layer. Three groups of samples with different PDMS thickness are prepared as shown in the Table 1.

In the test, the TEH patches were fixed onto a force gauge and applied onto the PDMS or Al contact surface with the same force, which is 10 N. To make the open circuit output voltage of TEH reaches the maximum value, the surface of TEH is fully contacted with PDMS or Al surface.

The experimental measurement data is shown in Fig. 2(a). According to the V–Q–x relationship for contact-mold TEHs [32], the output voltage is determined by the following equation:

\[ V = E_{\text{dielectric}} \times d + E_{\text{air}} \times x \]  

(1)

where \( E_{\text{dielectric}} \) is the electric field though the dielectric layer generated by the tribo-charges on the opposite sides of the TEH; \( d \) is the thickness of the dielectric layer; \( E_{\text{air}} \) is the electric field though the spacing between the top surface of TEH and contact surface, this electric field is generated by the tribo-charges on the TEH surface and contact surface; \( x \) is the spacing between the TEH surface and contact surface. The output voltage should increase with the increase of the dielectric layer thickness in the ideal fully contact-mold TEHs.

For group 1, there is no pyramid micro-pattern on the surface of TEH. The two contact surfaces are parylene and PDMS. The output voltage increases from 3.8 V to 9.5 V when the thickness of PDMS dielectric layer increases from 30 \( \mu \)m to 215 \( \mu \)m, which is consistent with the Eq. (1).

For group 2, there are pyramid micro-patterns on the surface of TEH. The two contact surfaces are the same as group 1. Compared with the samples of group 1, the output voltages of the samples of group 2 have about 50% enhancement with the same PDMS thickness. The output voltage increases from 5.2 V to 14.6 V when the thickness of the PDMS dielectric layer increases from 30 \( \mu \)m to 215 \( \mu \)m. Thus the pyramid micro-pattern on the surface of TEH can enhance the output voltage by 50%.

For the samples of group 3 are the same as group 2. To further increase the output voltage, we changed another contact surface from PDMS to Al. Since Al is more triboelectrically positive than PDMS, these contact surfaces of parylene and Al could generate higher output voltage. For the samples of 30 \( \mu \)m, 80 \( \mu \)m and
The output voltages are about 50% higher than their counterparts of group 2. For the sample of 215 μm thickness, the output voltage has 100% enhancement than the sample with the same thickness of group 2. This maybe induced by the too thick layer of PDMS. During the test, not only the surface pyramid micro-patterns get deformation, but the PDMS layer itself also got serious deformation which induced more charge transport. Thus the enhancement of the output voltage of thicker PDMS dielectric layer is much higher than that of thinner PDMS dielectric layer.

The output power for each group by changing the load resistance is shown in Fig. 2(b). The change of the maximum output power for each group follows the same trend as that of open-circuit output voltage as shown in Fig. 2(a).

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group no.</th>
<th>Surface micro-pattern</th>
<th>Contact surface</th>
<th>Thickness of dielectric layer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>Without pyramid micro-pattern</td>
<td>Parylene vs PDMS</td>
<td>30 μm, 80 μm, 150 μm, 215 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>With pyramid micro-pattern</td>
<td>Parylene vs PDMS</td>
<td>30 μm, 80 μm, 150 μm, 215 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 3</td>
<td>With pyramid micro-pattern</td>
<td>Parylene vs Al</td>
<td>30 μm, 80 μm, 150 μm, 215 μm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Eq. (1), the spacing between the top surface of the TEH and another contact surface is also another parameter to determine the output voltage. In order to investigate the effect of the spacing between the TEH surface and contact surface, which is the height of the PDMS chamber, we changed the spacing from 250 μm to 1000 μm. In this test, the TEH samples are with pyramid surface micro-pattern and of 215 μm PDMS thickness, which are the samples generating the highest output voltage in Fig. 2(a). The sample was assembled above the top layer of pump-chamber as shown in Fig. 1. The bottom layer of the pump-chamber was pressed to delivery solution of certain volume within the range from 0.01 ml to 0.1 ml. The output voltage was recorded and the relation between the delivery volume and output voltage is shown in Fig. 3(b). According to the Eq. (1) for fully contact-mold TEHs, the output voltage will increase with the increase of the spacing. But as shown in Fig. 3(a), the output voltage decreases with the increase of the spacing. This is because the TEH shown in Fig. 1 is not working in fully contact-mold. With the same deformation of the top layer of the pump-chamber, the contact area decreases with the increase of the spacing. Although the TEH could give higher output voltage when the spacing was lower, the standard deviation was also higher, which is shown as the error-bar in Fig. 3(b). Thus there is a trade-off between the accuracy and the output voltage of the TEH delivery volume sensor. When the pump chamber is pressed by finger, the TEH patch will deform and contact with the Al surface. However, these two surfaces not only contact with each other but also squeeze each other and further have a friction between them. So the whole TEH does not only work in contact mode but also partially works in friction mode.

![Fig. 3](https://example.com/fig3.png)
The friction will also contribute to the output voltage. This friction is more obvious when the spacing is lower. This is because with the same force applied on the pump chamber, the TEH patch will squeeze the Al surface more when the spacing is lower. If the force applied onto the pump chamber is not perpendicular to the Al surface, then a friction will occur. However, the direction of the force applied by human finger cannot be too well controlled. Thus, this is the reason why the error bar is larger when the spacing is lower. When the spacing is 1000 μm, this friction almost does not happen because the deformation of the TEH surface is not large enough to squeeze the Al surface. Based on the data when the spacing is 1000 μm, the Coefficient of Variation is %CV = 11%. To have a better accuracy for the delivery volume monitoring, the design of 1000 μm spacing was applied for the sample in other tests.

A demonstration of the drug delivery with volume sensor monitoring is shown in Fig. 4(a). The weight of the patch was measured after each pressing to calculate the volume of the delivered solution. And the output voltages of the delivery volume sensor were also recorded and converted to delivered volume according to the voltage–volume curve calibrated in Fig. 3(b). Moreover, the number of empty drug reservoirs can be counted to roughly estimate the delivered drug volume. The results of the delivery volume of each finger-pressing measured by these three methods are shown in Fig. 3(c). The number of empty drug reservoirs for each pressing is 4, 2, 3, 2 and 6, which is indicated as red line in Fig. 3(c). The volume measured by the weight and delivery volume sensor is indicated as blue spots and dash line, respectively. The delivered volume measured by delivery volume sensor and weight is almost the same except to the last data point, i.e., the volume measured by delivery volume sensor is a bit higher than the volume measured by weight. The applied force recorded by the delivery volume sensor at the last finger-pressing data point was higher than the required force to deliver the rest solution in the patch, where the accurate volume is characterized as weight. Secondly the delivered volume measured by counting the empty chamber does not perfectly match with the data measured by delivery volume sensor and weight because there was some residual solution in the empty drug reservoirs and channels. Generally the delivered volume can be roughly and straightforwardly estimated by counting the number of empty drug reservoirs. Thus the triboelectric volume sensor is required to figure out relatively precise delivered volume as long as some usage scenarios need the information of accurate delivered volume.

3.3. Development of the lab-on-chip drug delivery system

We further integrated two functional components within the lab-on-chip drug delivery patch as shown in Fig. 4. A microneedle array was assembled at the position for outlets for skin penetration before drug delivery.

On the top surface of the patch, we further integrated a TEH of 2 × 2 cm² area, which leverages the similar structure of the liquid volume sensor for a further integration of active components. By pressing the TEH from the top, energy can be generated. The detailed fabrication process of the whole device with all functional components is shown in Fig. S3.

3.4. Characterization and optimization for the TEH of stacked layers design

Due to the small area of the whole device, the power generated by a single layer of TEH is limited. Thus TEHs of stacked layer design [33–36] have been applied to enhance the output voltage. Multi-TEHs (N layers) are stacked layer by layer and connected in parallel to achieve a N-times charge transferred by one pressing comparing with the single layer TEH. If all the N-layered TEH has the charge transport simultaneously, the total transferred charge will increase N times. Meanwhile, due to the parallel connection of all layers in the N-layered TEH, the total inner resistance will decrease, which further enhances the output power.

Here we study the TEH with one, two and three stacked layers. The contact surface of the TEH is parylene vs Al. The thickness of PDMS dielectric layer is 215 μm which is optimized for the highest output (Fig. 2(a)). And to avoid a collapse and contact between two triboelectric surfaces when pressing is not applied, the spacing between two triboelectric surfaces is 700 μm.

In order to calculate the power generated by the TEH, a load resistor was connected between the top and bottom electrodes. A cyclic force of approximately 6 N with 0.5 Hz frequency was applied to generate the power. The voltage was then measured across the load resistor to obtain the power generated by the TEH.
As the load resistance was increased, the power output increased and peaked at a point and started dropping thereafter. The voltage and power characteristics of TEH with different stack layers are shown in Fig. 5(a). The maximum power output for devices with one, two and three stacked layers are 11.34 $\mu$W, 22.96 $\mu$W and 33.12 $\mu$W, respectively; the load resistance are approximately 28.57 M$\Omega$, 25.93 M$\Omega$ and 16.67 M$\Omega$, respectively, as shown in Fig. 5(b). This decrease of load resistance for peak power represents the decrease of the inner impedance of stacked TEHs, which is induced by the parallel connection of stacked TEHs. The quantity of charge transferred is proportional to the number of layers (black line in Fig. 5(d)), the peak voltage with respect to the number of layers does not follow the same trend. The peak voltage of TEH with 3 layers for open circuit is 33 V while the peak voltage (15 V) of TEH with 1 layer in open circuit is 15 V (Fig. 5(c)). Since all the triboelectric surfaces cannot be triggered simultaneously for the TEH with more than 1 layer, the voltage pulses of different layers will be generated at different times. Thus the width of voltage pulse will increase with the number of stacked layers (red line in Fig. 5(c)). The finger-pressing frequency will also change the output voltage as shown in Fig. 5(f). As shown in this figure, the peak voltage has an obvious increase within the range from 0.5 Hz to 2 Hz and tends to saturate when frequency is higher than 2 Hz. One major parameter which seems to affect the output voltage was the impact force provided by the finger tip. During manual cyclic pressing test, the amplitude of acceleration or force increases when the cyclic pressing frequency increases. Higher impact force results in increased elastic deformation in the PDMS layer which leads to increased contact area between the two triboelectric layers. The increased contact area is possibly the reason...
for increased triboelectric generation and performance of the device (Fig. 5(f)). The output voltage over time generated by different pressing frequency is added in the supplementary information. A representative example of the time domain signals of open circuit output voltage from different frequency with 1 stacked layer is shown in Fig. S7. Considering that the TEH is manually pressed, 2 Hz should be a reasonable optimum operation frequency.

Due to the reliability concern (referring to Fig. S3), a parylene coating on the bottom PDMS dielectric layer was used to protect the metal layer at the backside in our design as shown in the Fig. S3. An experiment has been conducted for the TEHs without parylene coating as a comparison to previous data with parylene in order to characterize the impact of such parylene coating. Thus, the contact surface is PDMS vs Al. The voltage and power characteristics of TEH with different stack layers are shown in Fig. 5(e). Compared with the results of TEH with parylene coating, the load resistances of peak powers are the same. However, the peak powers are 14 μW, 29.3 μW and 42.8 μW, respectively, indicating an average of 27% enhancement. This result suggests that, if the backside metal of the TEH patch is not easily detached or scratched, the parylene layer can be avoided and PDMS surface can offer a better performance.

3.5. Characterization of the liquid volume sensor in insulin delivery test

In order to confirm that the device has ideal features for an efficient drug volume control, transdermal delivery of insulin was tested in vivo. As a powerful approach for various biomedical researches such as transdermal drug delivery [39] and transdermal bio-sensing [40], a microneedle array was assembled onto the skin patch for the skin penetration and insulin delivery. The sharp tips of microneedles were assembled by double drawing lithography [37,38] onto the patch as shown in Fig. 6(a) and (b). A detailed illustration of the double drawing lithography can be found in Fig. S4. Penetration tests on mouse cadaver skin were conducted to characterize the penetration capability of the SU-8 microneedles made by double drawing lithography. A histology image of the skin at the site of one microneedle penetration confirms that the sharp conical tip was not broken during the insertion steps as shown in Fig. 6(c). A detailed in vitro drug delivery test is shown in Fig. S6.

All the procedures were performed under protocol and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the National University of Singapore. Sprague–Dawley rats with weight of 200–250 g were injected with 50 mg kg⁻¹ streptozotocin (Sigma-Aldrich, Singapore) in citrate buffer (pH 4.2) via intraperitoneal injection to generate a diabetic animal model. These rats were kept with free access to food and water for 3 days. Then their blood glucose level was checked by a glucometer (Accu-Chek, USA). The rats with blood glucose level between 16 and 30 mM were selected and the hairs on the abdomen skin were removed by a razor 24 h before the experiment. All these rats were divided into 3 groups and each group contained 3 rats.

We first conducted the tests without liquid volume control to confirm the drug delivery capability of the patch. Group 1 was a negative control group, in which we only tested the blood glucose level throughout the duration of the test. Group 2 was an experimental group. After the rats were anesthetized, the patch with insulin loaded was applied on the abdomen skin surface. The pump chamber was pressed to deliver all the insulin (10 IUmL⁻¹) contained in the drug reservoirs. As mentioned before, the totally volume of all the drug reservoirs is about 246 μL. In group 3, after the rats were anesthetized, 10 IUmL⁻¹ Lispro insulin was injected.
subcutaneously with a 29G hypodermic needle into the rats (2.5 IU kg⁻¹) as a positive control experiment.

Blood samples were taken from the tail vein every 30 min after the beginning of the experiments in all groups. The blood glucose level monitoring lasted for 5.5 h. A glucometer (Accu-Chek, USA) was used to give the corresponding blood glucose levels. The results are shown in Fig. 6(d). The blood glucose level in rats treated with our patch dropped continuously during the 5.5 h insulin delivery period and was quite stable after 3 h. It was significantly different compared with the negative control group, where insulin solution was not administered to the rats. Remarkably, the change of the blood glucose level in the positive control experiments in both groups, i.e., using patch and a hypodermic needle, was about the same. This experiment successfully proved the feasibility of using proposed LoC drug delivery patch to deliver macromolecules like insulin.

A detailed study was conducted in order to study the ability of manual control function for insulin delivery, which is supported by the microfluidic control system including pump chamber, valves and liquid volume sensor. During the test with patches, the delivery volume was controlled by controlling the pressing force. The output voltage of the liquid volume sensor was recorded to confirm the different volume delivered during the tests, as shown in Fig. 6(e). There were 4 groups in the study. For the first 2 groups, only one time pressing was applied during the test. The output voltages of the delivery volume sensor were 3.8 V and 5.4 V, respectively. For the last 2 groups, the pump chambers were pressed twice and the output voltages of the delivery volume sensor were measured as 3.5 V ± 3 V and 3.6 V ± 5.3 V, respectively. The change of the blood glucose level is shown in Fig. 6(e). For the group with higher voltage output, which means a larger volume of insulin delivery, the blood glucose level drops more. But for all the groups, the blood glucose level stabilized at a certain level after 3 h. The experiment confirms that the manual control delivery mechanism with liquid volume sensor can successfully monitor insulin delivery and further control the blood glucose level. However, during the insulin delivery, the microneedles were immersed within the skin, making the outlets of the microneedles. Thus, the flow resistance of the whole microfluidic channel has a significant increase, result in a slight deviation of the actual delivery volume from the in vitro calibration as shown in Fig. 55. Generally speaking, the actual delivery volume of in vivo was around 10% lower than that of in vitro calibration in the situation of drug delivery when microneedles were in skin.

4. Conclusion

Triboelectric energy harvester has been applied for various kinds of wearable sensors and electronics due to its flexible and thin film structure. Here a liquid volume sensor which leverages the triboelectric mechanism was integrated within a wearable lab-on-chip drug delivery patch to realize a manually controlled large volume drug delivery function. To power active components which will be integrated on the patch in the near future, a stacked layer triboelectric energy harvester (TEH) design was studied and characterized. Increasing the number of stacked layers significantly enhances the output power. The output power generated by a TEH with 3 stacked layers and a 2 x 2 cm² area is 33 μW. The optimum pressing frequency is 2 Hz which is within the reasonable range of usage scenarios based manually finger pressing. The liquid volume sensor integrated within the patch leverages the similar structure as the TEH. The spacing between triboelectric pair surfaces is optimized to be 1000 μm for a best accuracy. Thus, the delivery volume can be monitored, which is crucial for certain medical applications such as insulin delivery, whose delivery dose needs to be precisely controlled. Microneedle array was assembled onto the patch to confirm the drug delivery and volume monitoring functions by in vivo experiments in rats. The liquid volume sensor can be integrated with other drug delivery devices or lab-on-chip microfluidic devices when the liquid volume delivered should be accurately measured.

Appendix A. Supplementary material

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoen.2016.02.054.
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