ABSTRACT: Electromagnetic generators (EMGs) and triboelectric nanogenerators (TENGs) are the two most powerful approaches for harvesting ambient mechanical energy, but the effectiveness of each depends on the triggering frequency. Here, after systematically comparing the performances of EMGs and TENGs under low-frequency motion (<5 Hz), we demonstrated that the output performance of EMGs is proportional to the square of the frequency, while that of TENGs is approximately in proportion to the frequency. Therefore, the TENG has a much better performance than that of the EMG at low frequency (typically 0.1−3 Hz). Importantly, the extremely small output voltage of the EMG at low frequency makes it almost inapplicable to drive any electronic unit that requires a certain threshold voltage (∼0.2−4 V), so that most of the harvested energy is wasted. In contrast, a TENG has an output voltage that is usually high enough (>10−100 V) and independent of frequency so that most of the generated power can be effectively used to power the devices. Furthermore, a TENG also has advantages of light weight, low cost, and easy scale up through advanced structure designs. All these merits verify the possible killer application of a TENG for harvesting energy at low frequency from motions such as human motions for powering small electronics and possibly ocean waves for large-scale blue energy.
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The development of a sensor network for big data and the Internet of Things is predicted to be the main driving technology for the future. Although the power required for operating a single electronic device is minimal, the number of such devices in the net is huge, in the range of trillions. Traditional power sources rely on batteries and supercapacitors, but they have limited lifetime, need replacement, and require maintenance. Energy harvesting technologies have been actively developed by converting ambient energy in the environment into electric power so that a device can be self-powered. Due to its ubiquitous existence and abundant availability, mechanical energy is considered an ideal power source for energy harvesting by electromagnetic, electrostatic, triboelectric, and piezoelectric effects, among which electromagnetic generators (EMGs) and triboelectric nanogenerators (TENGs) are the two most efficient approaches. As the most classical technology, EMGs, based on Faraday’s law of electromagnetic induction, are currently used for powering small electronics and large-scale power generation. The TENG, based on coupling of triboelectrification and electrostatic induction as invented in 2012, is relatively new, but it has attracted a broad interest due to its high energy conversion efficiency, low cost, flexibility, and abundant choice of materials.

The mechanical energy available in the environment usually has a frequency lower than 10 Hz, such as ocean waves, tides, and human motion. Harvesting such low-frequency energy using an EMG may be ineffective, since the output power decays greatly with the decrease of frequency and the output
voltage is rather low at low frequency. This is likely to be the reason that the conventional ac generator operates at 50-60 Hz. Although efforts have been devoted in recent years to improve the power output in the low-frequency range, it is still difficult to utilize EMGs to effectively harvest mechanical energy in sub-10 Hz and even sub-1 Hz frequencies. TENG has been reported for energy harvesting from broadband-frequency mechanical energy sources such as vibrations, human walking, body motions, and ocean waves. Although a theoretical comparison between EMGs and TENGs has been performed by Zhang et al., a quantitative analysis between the two is still not available to reveal the frequency range in which a TENG is a more favorable choice than an EMG.

Here we report a systematic comparative study on low-frequency mechanical energy harvesting by a TENG and an EMG. Both contact-separation (CS) mode and freestanding-sliding (FS) mode of a TENG and an EMG were fabricated for comparison. The open-circuit voltage and short-circuit current of EMG are proportional to the frequency. In contrast, for a TENG, only a short-circuit current is proportional to the frequency, while its open-circuit voltage is high and remains constant. As a result, there always exists a frequency lower than which the output power of a TENG is higher than that of an EMG. The extremely small output voltage of an EMG in the low-frequency range limits its application for driving an electronic unit or charging a battery because each of them has a threshold voltage (~0.2-4 V) for proper operations, while a TENG has an output voltage of usually more than 10-100 V regardless of the frequency. Our study shows that, for frequencies of less than 3 Hz, the efficiency of a TENG is much higher than that of an EMG for harvesting energy in cases such as human motions and possibly ocean waves.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Currently, four basic modes of TENG operation have been developed, namely, contact-separation (CS) mode, lateral-sliding (LS) mode, single-electrode (SE) mode, and freestanding-triboelectric-layer (FT) mode. According to the figures-of-merit (FOMs) of a TENG established recently, the structural FOMs of the CS mode and FT mode are dramatically better than that of the other two modes. Hence, these two modes are widely used in varieties of structural designs of TENGs due to the outstanding output performance. In the FT mode TENGs, the freestanding-sliding (FS) mode rather than the freestanding-contact (FC) mode is much more widely used practically due to its feasibility to harvest energy available in most external mechanical motions. In analogy, the basic operations of the CS mode and FS mode have also been developed for EMGs for powering small electronics. Therefore, our study was conducted based on the CS mode and FS mode for systematic comparisons between TENGs and EMGs.

As illustrated in Figure 1a, a CS mode EMG was achieved by contact separation between one set of copper (Cu) coils and one piece of square magnet (unless specifically noted). Similarly, a CS mode TENG was fabricated by depositing Cu electrodes on two pieces of fluorinated ethylene propylene (FEP) film, with the Cu side of one film facing the FEP side of the other film for triboelectricity. In Figure 1b, the FS mode EMG was fabricated by placing two sets of copper coils (wound in opposite directions) next to each other, with one piece of square magnet moving horizontally above them. With a similar configuration, in the FS mode TENG, there are two areas of Cu film deposited in parallel next to each other, while one piece of FEP film was used as the triboelectric layer moving horizontally above them. The detailed fabrication process is described in the Experimental Methods section.
We first measured both the open-circuit voltages and short-circuit currents for CS and FS modes of the EMG and TENG when they are subjected to various operation frequency, respectively, as shown in Figure 2. The triggered periodical mechanical motion is described in eq 13 in the Experimental Methods section.

According to Faraday’s law of electromagnetic induction, the open-circuit voltage of the EMG is proportional to the changing rate of the magnetic flux in each Cu wire loop \( \Phi \) multiplying the number of loops \( N \) in each coil, and the short-circuit current equals the open-circuit voltage over the internal resistance \( r \) of the coils. Their equations (as created by each coil) are expressed as below:

\[
V_{OC}^{EMG} = -N \frac{d\Phi}{dt} \quad (1)
\]

\[
I_{SC}^{EMG} = \frac{V_{OC}^{EMG}}{r} \quad (2)
\]

Specifically, for the FS mode EMG, the change of \( \Phi \) is mainly due to the effective area with the parallel magnetic field \( B \) below the magnet. If we assume the majority of the output is determined by the parallel magnetic field \( B \) across the coils, the average absolute open-circuit voltage in this case can be further derived as

\[
|V_{OC}^{FS,EMG}| = 4BSf \quad (3)
\]

Here, \( S \) is the average area of each coil, and \( f = 1/T \) (\( T \) as the period) is the frequency of the mechanical motion. The number 4 is added since there are two sets of coils wound in opposite directions and in one period the total magnetic flux changes twice (from \( BS \) to 0 and from 0 to \( BS \)). For the CS mode EMG, the change of \( \Phi \) is due to the magnetic field intensity decaying/strengthening with an increase/decrease of the distance from the magnet to the coil, with a period of \( T \). Similarly, this variation of the magnetic field is also proportional to the frequency of the motions. Therefore, in an EMG, both the open-circuit voltage and the short-circuit current are proportional to the triggering frequency \( f \), which is consistent with the experimental results shown in Figure 2 a and b.

The operation of a TENG is based on coupling triboelectrification and electrostatic induction. \(^{6-9,37}\) Initially, a physical contact between at least one pair of triboelectric layers made from different materials creates opposite charges on two surfaces in contact. Then as triggered by mechanical force, relative motion between these layers breaks the existing electrostatic balance, which builds a potential difference between the electrodes and drives free electrons in the electrodes to flow to rebalance the electrostatic field. When the layers move back, the electrons flow back to return to the original equilibrium. Under periodical external mechanical motions, pulsed ac output is delivered. The open-circuit voltage of a TENG for all the modes was derived according to

\[
V_{OC}^{TENG} = \frac{Q_{SC}}{C(x)} \quad (4)
\]

where \( Q_{SC} \) is the short-circuit charge transfer amount and \( C(x) \) is the capacitance between two electrodes subject to various displacement \( x \). For any TENG, with the fixed displacement range from 0 to \( x_{max} \), the maximum charge transfer amount is kept at \( Q_{SC,max} = Q_{SC}(x = x_{max}) \), which is proportional to the triboelectric surface charge density \( (\sigma) \) and triboelectric surface
Figure 3. Output performance comparison between an EMG and TENG at low frequency. (a and b) Average power densities $P$ of (a) CS mode and (b) FS mode devices versus frequency $f$, with linear fits of $\log_{10} P = \log_{10} f$. The fitted slopes for the TENG are both about 1, while those for the EMG are both about 2, which means there is always a low-frequency range in which the TENG is able to output a larger power than the EMG, as denoted by the green areas. (c and d) Average rectified power densities of (c) CS mode and (d) FS mode devices. For the
TENG, the power densities with the rectifier are almost the same as that without the rectifier, while for the EMG the rectified power densities decay greatly due to the low output voltages. (e and f) Current through an LED as driven by (e) CS mode and (f) FS mode devices with photos of the lighting LED for a visual indication of the generated power. The blue areas denote the frequency range in which the TENG is more efficient for lighting the LED. The EMG used in (e) has two stacked square magnets. (g and h) Voltage of capacitors as charged by (g) CS mode (a 10 μF capacitor is used) and (h) FS mode (a 3 μF capacitor is used) devices, which shows the saturation voltages as charged by the EMG are limited by the low-voltage output.

As stated above, $Q_{Sc,max}$ is a fixed value. Therefore, the average short-circuit current is derived as

$$I_{Sc,TENG} = \frac{dQ_{Sc}}{dt}$$

As equation (5), the absolute short-circuit current is given by

$$I_{Sc,max} = \frac{2Q_{Sc,max}}{f}$$

where $d_0$ is the effective thickness of the dielectric layer defined as $d_0 = \sum d_i / \epsilon_i$. The peak value of eq 6 is given as about $2S\alpha\epsilon_{max} / d_0 f$, and the average value is calculated as

$$I_{Sc,TENG} = \frac{2S\alpha\epsilon_{max}}{d_0 + x_{max}} f$$

For the FS mode, the average absolute short-circuit current is given by

$$I_{FS,TENG} = 2\sigma Sf$$

From eqs 6–8, we noticed that the short-circuit current of a TENG is proportional to the triggering frequency, which is consistent with the experimental results shown in Figure 2c and d.

To evaluate and compare the overall energy output capability for the EMG and TENG, the optimized average output powers subjected to the matched external load resistance were measured. Theoretically, as a voltage source with a small internal resistance $r$, the output power subjected to external resistance $R$ can be directly calculated through the following equation:

$$P_{EMG} = \frac{\left(\frac{V_{OC}}{R + r}\right)^2}{R}$$

The optimized average power is given when $R = r$, which is

$$P_{Opt,EMG} = \frac{V_{OC}^2}{4r}$$

As we discussed and measured previously, the open-circuit voltage of the EMG is proportional to the triggering frequency $f$. Therefore, the optimized average power of the EMG is proportional to the square of the frequency, which is consistent with the previous report (C is a constant):

$$P_{Opt,EMG} \propto f^2 \text{ or } \log_{10} P_{Opt,EMG} = 2\log_{10} f + C$$

For the TENG, as we stated and demonstrated above, the maximum open-circuit voltage and maximum short-circuit charge transfer both remain constant at various frequencies. Therefore, the largest possible harvested energy per cycle $E_{ho}$ in the cycle of maximized energy output (CMEO) remains the same for different frequencies, which makes the largest possible average power output proportional to the frequency. The output power directly subjected to the external load resistance, called the cycle of energy output (CEO),37 is demonstrated to be more complex as related to multiple factors such as the type of mechanical motion, the waiting time at $x = 0$ or $x_{max}$ etc. However, as shown in previous simulations for various TENGs, the optimized output powers of the CEO at the matched resistance are also approximately proportional to the frequency, which means ($D$ is a constant different from $C$):

$$P_{Opt,TENG} \propto f \log_{10} P_{Opt,TENG} = \log_{10} f + D$$

We experimentally demonstrated correlations between the optimized average output power density (of volume) and the frequency for the EMG and TENG fabricated, as shown in Figure 3a (for CS mode) and 3b (FS mode). The methods for measuring and calculating the optimized average powers are described in the Experimental Methods section, and the average power versus external resistance plots are shown in Figure S1, Supporting Information, in which the high output impedance of the TENG can be lowered by a recently reported power-management system.45 We performed linear fits for logarithms of average power densities versus logarithms of frequencies for both CS and FS modes. As we noted, the fitted slopes for TENGs are both about 1, while those for EMGs are both about 2, which are consistent with the theoretical predictions in eqs 11 and 12. The difference in the fitted slopes indicates there is always a low-frequency range in which the output performance of a TENG is better than that of an EMG, no matter which modes of the TENG and EMG are chosen for comparison. The frequency at which the optimized average power densities for TENG and EMG are equal can be named as the threshold frequency ($f_{th}$), and the frequency range below $f_{th}$ can be called the TENG-dominant frequency range. In our experiments as
shown in Figure 3a and b, for fabricated CS and FS mode devices is about 5 and 3 Hz, respectively.

The efficient usage of the energy generated by the EMG and TENG is distinctly different at low frequency. Generally, a series of electronic units are utilized to rectify, regulate, manage, and store the dc power outputs from the EMG and TENG as required for practical applications. Each of these electronic units demands a certain threshold voltage for proper operation. Therefore, the extremely small voltage output of the EMG under low-frequency operation limits its practical applications. Taking the most commonly used full-wave bridge rectifier as an example, each rectifier takes 0.2–0.8 V in the voltage drop, while the diodes inside are working properly. For TENG, due to its high voltage (∼100 V level) and low current (∼10 μA level) output, this voltage drop is negligible and the power loss is very little. But for the EMG as a low-voltage (∼1 V level) and high current (∼1 mA level) generator, this voltage drop is relatively large and the power loss is huge, especially for the low-frequency range. We experimentally measured the optimized output power densities of the EMG and TENG using the rectifier, as shown in Figure 3c and d. As we noted, the optimized output power densities for both CS and FS mode TENGs using the rectifier are almost the same as those without using the rectifier (as in Figure 3a and b), indicating little power loss due to rectification. But for the EMG, the power densities after using the rectifier are rather small. With an even lower frequency range (<3 Hz for the CS mode and <1 Hz for the FS mode), the output power densities become too small to be measured, which shows the ineffectiveness of EMG for harvesting low-frequency energy.

The low output voltage of the EMG at low frequency largely reduces the effective usage of its generated power. To further demonstrate the disadvantage of the low voltage from EMG in low-frequency energy harvesting for powering small electronics, we utilized an EMG and TENG to power an LED (with an I–V curve as shown in Figure S2, Supporting Information) and charge capacitors (10 μF for the CS mode and 3 μF for the FS mode) under low-frequency motions. As measured, the minimum voltage required for lighting the LED is about 2.3 V, while the current required is less than 1 μA, which can be very easily satisfied by the TENG, even at a quite low frequency of ∼0.1 Hz. However, for the EMG under low-frequency motions, a certain frequency is required to achieve the required minimum voltage (∼4.5 Hz for the CS mode EMG with two stacked square magnets and ∼2.5 Hz for the FS mode EMG as measured). The difference in the required minimum frequencies for the EMG and TENG is shown in Figure 3e and f. The voltages of the capacitors as charged by EMGs through the rectifier, the saturation voltage, which is defined as the highest possible voltage achieved, is limited by the low open-circuit voltages (usually
less than 2 V for \( f < 3 \) Hz). Because of the low saturation voltage, most of the energy from the EMG cannot be stored and is largely lost! Besides, this limitation makes the EMG unable to charge an energy storage unit that operates at a higher voltage. For example, to charge a common lithium-ion coin cell battery, usually at least 3–4 V in voltage is required. For a TENG, it has been demonstrated that the voltage of the capacitors can be charged up to the maximum open-circuit voltage (for the designed charging cycle), which is usually more than 10–100 V. Besides, the rate of using a TENG to charge a battery/capacitor can be greatly enhanced through the designed charging cycle and the power-management system as reported.

In practical applications for ambient mechanical energy harvesting, the TENG also benefits from several other advantages. One of them is the light weight of the fabricated device since it requires only a few layers of polymer films with electrodes. As a comparison, the EMG as composed by magnets and metal coils is dramatically heavy. Figure 4a and b give the optimized average power-to-mass ratio for both the EMG and TENG. Due to the great difference in the weight under an equivalent volume, the average power-to-mass ratio of the TENG is much better than that of the EMG in an even larger frequency range. The light weight but great power output of the TENG makes it a perfect candidate for wearable and implantable applications, which however cannot be achieved by the EMG.

The output performance of the TENG can be easily scaled up through advanced structure designs such as grating structures and multilayer structures. For example, by dividing the FS mode TENG into the linear grating structure, the output performance can be further enhanced, and it can be used to harvest mechanical energy with minimum displacement. Here we fabricated the FS mode TENGs with the number of subdivisions \( N \) from 1 to 5. Similar structures with subdivisions of the FS mode EMG were also fabricated for comparison. The schematic diagrams of a subdivided EMG and TENG (both with \( N = 2 \)) are shown in the insets of Figure 4c and d. For the TENG, with different numbers of subdivisions, the open-circuit voltage was measured to be approximately the same. With the increase of \( N \), the time slot to transfer \( Q_{\text{SC,max}} \) decreased as \( \frac{1}{N} \), and hence the short-circuit current as well as the output power increases, consistent with the experimental results in Figure 4d. This strategy can also be altered to be a rotational grating structure with outstanding output performance brought by subdivisions with submillimeter width, demonstrating the grating structure as an effective strategy for greatly enhancing the output performance of the TENG. For the EMG with an increased number of subdivisions, as the total number of Cu wire loops increases, the open-circuit voltage increases. However, since the magnetic fields from different magnets influence each other, once the magnets become more numerous, the increase of the open-circuit voltage will saturate eventually. In our experiments as shown in Figure 4c, after \( N = 2 \), the increase of the open-circuit voltage begins to saturate. Moreover, due to the dramatic increase of the internal resistance \( r \), the short-circuit current starts to decrease at \( N = 3 \), which is not favorable. Such influences from magnetic fields and internal resistance on the performance cannot happen in TENGs with advanced designs. Therefore, as compared with an EMG, the TENG is a much better choice to harvest mechanical energy with the possibility to achieve enhanced output performance through advanced structure designs.

CONCLUSION

In summary, low-frequency mechanical energy harvesting by an EMG and TENG is studied systematically. The output characteristics of an EMG are low voltage and high current, and both the open-circuit voltage and the short-circuit current are proportional to the mechanical triggering frequency. For the TENG, with high voltage and low current, the open-circuit voltage remains constant and the short-circuit current is proportional to the frequency. These characteristics make the output power of the TENG high and even much higher than that of an EMG below a certain threshold frequency, called the TENG-dominant frequency range (<5 Hz for the CS mode and <3 Hz for the FS mode as measured). This threshold frequency always exists while comparing any TENGs and any EMGs. Besides, as operated in the low-frequency range, the extremely small output voltage of the EMG limits its applications for driving electronics units such as a rectifier and an LED or charging a battery, since each of them requires a threshold voltage of \( \sim 0.2–4 \) V for proper operation. Furthermore, the TENG also shows advantages of light weight and the possibility to enhance the performance through advanced structure designs. All these characteristics make the TENG a possible killer application to harvest sub-3 Hz frequency mechanical energy such as human motions for powering small electronics or possibly ocean waves for large-scale power generation (blue energy).

EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

Preparation of the CS Mode TENG and EMG. For TENG fabrication, typically, a 1.5 mm acrylic sheet was cut into two square pieces (30 × 30 mm) by a laser cutter (PLS 6.75, Universal Laser Systems) as the substrate. Both of the square sheets were abraded by fine grit sandpaper (#5000) to ensure a flat working surface. Two FEP films (25 × 25 mm, thickness of 100 μm) were prepared by depositing Cu electrode (200 nm by PVD 500) on one side of each film. Then, the two as-prepared FEP films were pasted onto the square substrates to make the FEP surface of one deposited film face the Cu surface of the other film. The total TENG is about 1450 mm\(^3\) in volume and 0.9 g in weight.

For EMG fabrication, a 3.0 mm acrylic sheet (10 × 10 mm) was sandwiched by two 1.5 mm acrylic sheets (30 × 30 mm) as a frame. Then, Cu wire with a diameter of 0.08 mm was wound in the frame as the coil. Each coil has 1200 loops. Finally, in this experiment, one (two for lighting the LED) square neodymium–iron–boron magnet(s) (1 in. long, 1 in. wide, 0.1 in. thick, 8 lbs maximum pull) was used to contact and separate the coil to generate output. This TENG is about 3750 mm\(^3\) in volume and 21.04 g in weight.

Preparation of the FS Mode TENG and EMG. For TENG fabrication, a 1.5 mm acrylic sheet was cut into two pieces; one is 30 × 30 mm in size, and the other is 55 × 30 mm in size. Both of them were abraded by fine grit sandpaper (#5000) to ensure a flat working surface. Then the acrylic sheet with a size of 55 × 30 mm was deposited with two 500 nm Cu electrodes (25 × 25 mm) with an interval of 1 mm after predepositing 50 nm of titanium (Ti) as a buffer layer using a PVD 500. Then a piece of FEP film (25 × 25 mm, thickness of 100 μm) was pasted to the other acrylic sheet as the triboelectric layer. This TENG is 2062 mm\(^3\) in volume and 1.95 g in weight.

For EMG fabrication, two 3.0 mm acrylic sheets (10 × 10 mm) were sandwiched by four 1.5 mm acrylic sheets (28 × 30 mm) to form two frames. Then, Cu wire with a 0.08 mm diameter was wound in the two frames, and the winding directions of the coils in the two frames were opposite. Each coil in the EMG has 1200 loops except the one...
with subdivisions. Finally, a square neodymium–iron–boron magnet (1 in. long, 1 in. wide, 0.1 in. thick, 8 lbs maximum pull) moves horizontally above the Cu coils to generate output. This EMG is about 6250 mm² in volume and 29.35 g in weight.

For the FS mode EMG and TENG with subdivisions, the total size of the devices is 60 × 30 mm, and they are divided equally for different numbers of subdivisions. The magnets used here are ultra-high-pull stretchable magnets made by neodymium numbers of subdivisions. The magnets used here are ultra-high-pull stretchable magnets made by neodymium iron magnetic films (FEP) and polyethylene terephthalate (PET) resistance.

Applied Mechanical Motion. A linear motor was used in this experiment to produce the motion with various frequencies. The set motion in each period (as started from \( t = 0 \)) is described by the following equation:

\[
x(x, t; x_{\text{max}}, L, f, T) = \begin{cases} 
88, 0 & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{4f} \\
88, 1 & \frac{1}{4f} \leq t \leq \frac{3}{4f} \\
88, 2 & \frac{3}{4f} \leq t \leq \frac{1}{f} 
\end{cases}
\]

Measurement and Calculations to Determine the Optimized Average Power Output. For both the TENG and EMG connected with various external load resistances, the voltage across the resistance and the current flowing through the resistance were measured simultaneously. The schematic diagrams for measurement circuits without and with the rectifier are shown in Figure S3, Supporting Information. Then the average output powers were calculated as (\( t_0 \) is a randomly picked time during operations)

\[
P_{\text{TENG}} = \int_{t_0}^{t_0+T} VI \, dt 
\]

The average power outputs versus resistances were plotted in Figure S1, Supporting Information. Finally the highest power for each frequency was used to calculate the optimized average power density and power-to-mass ratio, and the resistance with respect to the highest power is the matched load resistance. The optimized average powers with the rectifier were measured directly at the matched load resistance.
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